

SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report				2. Award or Grant Number:	02-10-S18002
				4. EIN:	92-6001185
1. Recipient Name	Alaska Department of Public Safety			6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	09/28/2020
3. Street Address	5700 E. Tudor RD.			7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	06/30/2020
5. City, State, Zip Code	Anchorage Alaska 99517			8. Final Report	
				Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
				No <input type="checkbox"/>	
9. Project/Grant Period				10. Reserved for Reviewer	
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018	9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	06/30/2020		
11. Program Activities					
11a. Identify the activities you performed during SLIGP2.0 grant period of performance					
	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Quantity	
1	Governance Meetings	No	0	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period	
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	Yes	2	Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period	
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	No	0	Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requested by FirstNet.	
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	Yes	0.75	Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the grant period (may be a decimal).	
5	Contracts Executed	Yes	2	Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.	
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No	0	Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.	
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during the grant period.	
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	Yes		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.	
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	Yes		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.	
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed during the grant period	
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	Yes		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.	
12	Data Collection Activities	No		Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet	

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

The Governor's Single Point of Contact (SPOC) and the Statewide Interoperability Coordination (SWIC) coordinated many elements of statewide communications interoperability planning and the development of the NPSBN for Alaska to include the development and implementation of the State Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP), the establishment of Policies and Procedures and continue the outreach efforts within local and tribal groups within the state.

2 individuals attended IWCE/Broadband Conference to gather information to assist with the integration, technology, and education of the NPSBN for transition planning for emergency communications.

During the SLIGP 2.0 timeframe we executed a project to add 20 Alaska Village Public Safety Officers (VPSO) to FirstNet using Push To Talk (PTT). These VPSO officers are outside the Land Mobile Radio coverage area and have no way of talking to dispatch and other public safety responders. By adding them to FirstNet PTT they have direct communication to talk groups and dispatch.

Stakeholders from the Office of Information Technology (OIT), The Governor's office, Kenai Fire Department and The Department of Public Safety (DPS) attended the National Governors Association (NGA) and the Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) NE Interoperability Communications Workshop meeting in July to discuss interoperability governance and operations. The outcome from this meeting was Alaska's renewed interest in strengthening Interoperable Governance and a signed Administrative Order on August 29, 2018 to create Alaska's Statewide Interoperability Governing Body (AO298).

Kevin McGinnis and I presented "FirstNet Update and the Alaska Plan" to the State Emergency Response Commission.

During the SLIGP 2.0 timeframe we executed a Task Order contract with Televate and Mission Critical Partners. The first Task Order for them to respond to is: i. Allowable activities for States where FirstNet is deploying the RAN (Opt-In States) for (Planning activities to prepare for emergency communications technology transitions).

Another focus point is to assess coverage objectives for the future state of the Network. To this end the State Office of Information Technology (OIT) has been purchasing FirstNet devices to casually report coverage issues and work with Public Safety entities currently utilizing land mobile radios.

To Facilitate rural outreach, we invited all members of the Alaska Native Tribal Consortium to attend the Alaska Tribal I/O Partner Engagement – to discuss FirstNet.

During the SLIGP 2.0 timeframe we updated the REGION X ALASKA RESPONSE ANNEX to include FirstNet resources.

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.

NONE

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.

NONE

Total Funds Allocated to Contracts						\$388,337.00	\$0.00

14. Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$62,698.00	\$37,755.00	\$100,453.00	\$5,883.10	\$53,838.07	\$59,721.17
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$37,909.00	\$22,430.00	\$60,339.00	\$3,241.24	\$31,074.32	\$34,315.56
c. Travel	\$39,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$52,000.00	\$6,442.24	\$0.00	\$6,442.24
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$3,600.00	\$0.00	\$3,600.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
f. Contractual	\$388,337.00	\$0.00	\$388,337.00	\$2,870.00	\$0.00	\$2,870.00
g. Other	\$3,456.00	\$45,274.00	\$48,730.00	\$378.00	\$0.00	\$378.00
h. Indirect	\$0.00	\$15,291.00	\$15,291.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
i. Total Costs	\$535,000.00	\$133,750.00	\$668,750.00	\$18,814.58	\$84,912.39	\$103,726.97
j. Proportionality Percent	80%	20%	100%	18%	82%	100%

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	The SLIGP Program was instrumental in outreach, planning and adoption. The only challenge is the coverage withing the state.

<p>15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.</p>	<p>4-Agree</p>	<p>What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?</p>	<p>Continuing outreach and inclusion into the states LMR system</p>
<p>15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.</p>	<p>4-Agree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>SLIGP funding helped To facilitate rural outreach.</p>
<p>Statement</p>	<p>Agree/Disagree</p>	<p>Additional Questions</p>	<p>Response</p>

<p>15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.</p>	<p>4-Agree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>The knowledge of the overall program facilitated the state to adopt a Governance Structure.</p>
<p>15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).</p>	<p>4-Agree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>The SLIGP grant funds facilitated 2 individuals attended IWCE/Broadband Conference to gather information to assist with the integration, technology, and education of the NPSBN for transition planning for emergency communications.</p>

<p>15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.</p>	<p>4-Agree</p>	<p>What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?</p>	<p>YES</p>
--	----------------	--	------------

16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.

<p>16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:</p>	<p>16c. Telephone: 907-269-2037</p>
<p>John Rockwell, Alaska Statewide 911 Coordinator</p>	<p>16d. Email Address: john.rockwell@alaska.gov</p>
<p>16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:</p>	<p>16e. Date: 10/6/2020</p>

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Natalie Romanoff, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.