SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report		2. Award or Grant Number:	09-10-S18009
		4. EIN:	06-600798
1. Recipient Name	Connecticut Department of Emergency Services and Public Protection	**6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)**	05/04/2021
3. Street Address	1111 Country Club Road	**7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)**	03/31/2021
5. City, State, Zip Code	Middletown, CT 06457	**8. Final Report** Yes ☑ No ☐	

9. Project/Grant Period

9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/01/2018	**9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)**	03/31/2021	**10. Reserved for Reviewer**	

11. Program Activities

11a. Identify the activities you performed during SLIGP2.0 grant period of performance

	Activity Type (Planning, Governance Meetings, etc.)	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period? (Yes/No)	Total Project Deliverable Quantity (Number)	Description of Activity Deliverable Quantity
1	Governance Meetings	YES	29	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	YES	2	Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	YES	4	Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requested by FirstNet.
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	Yes	0.11	Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the grant period (may be a decimal).
5	Contracts Executed	No	0	Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No	0	Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		Yes or No if data sharing policies and/or agreements were developed during the grant period.
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	No		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plans were developed during the grant period
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	Yes		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.
12	Data Collection Activities	No		Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.

Three governance meetings were held between 1/1/2021 and 3/31/2021, with a total for the 13 quarters of 29. During the period of perfromance two individuals attended broadband conferences. Four stakeholder outreach sessions were held between 11/1/2020 and 2/28/2021 and were done via an electronic platform to comply with Covid-19 restrictions. The data collection efforts and other tasks requested by FirstNet did not exceed the capabilities of existing staff members, therefore the use of contractor support was not required. No new staff hires, staffing changes occurred. Gap data collection and interaction with AT&T occurred in each of the 13 quarters.   Connecticut Staff members will continue to support the Public Safety Broadband Network initiatives in Connecticut to enhance first responder communications options.

11c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe.
Three stakeholder outreach sessions were held between January 1, 2021 and March 31, 2021 with an additional outreach session held in quarter 12, not previously reported in the quarter 12 PPR. Additionally 3 Governance meetings were held between January 1, 2021 and March 31, 2021.

11d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project.
Lessons learned: Importance of timely information sharing between state and local partners and FirstNet/ AT&T which include technical information and gap reporting. Very Successful communications with AT&T RAN Group and FirstNet Staff.

12. Personnel

12a. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that contributed time to the project with utilization. Please only include government staff employed by the state/territory NOT contractors.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned
EMPS	5%	Planning, reporting, gathering gap information, support to other assigned staff and both the Broadband Working Group and the Governance Gropup (SIEC)
Tel Com Eng 1	3%	Coverage gap identification, planning for technology transitions
Dir Unified Comm	3%	Position became vacant after this project started - previous work included securing AT&T-FirstNet on the State Contract and ongoing business contacts with AT&T

13. Contractual (Contract and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Contractual Table – Include all contractors. The totals from this table should equal the "Contractual" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed (Y/N)	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
N/A	Assist with data collection as needed	Contract	N	N			134,792.00	0

Total Funds Allocated to Contracts						$134,792.00	$0.00

14. Budget Worksheet
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Budget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	$0.00	$19,086.00	$19,086.00	$0.00	$6,664.17	$6,664.17
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	$0.00	$14,867.00	$14,867.00	$0.00	$5,745.05	$5,745.05
c. Travel	$13,000.00	$0.00	$13,000.00	$192.38	$0.00	$192.38
d. Equipment	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	$1,440.00	$0.00	$1,440.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
f. Contractual	$134,792.00	$0.00	$134,792.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
g. Other	$15,000.00	$4,000.00	$19,000.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
h. Indirect	$0.00	$3,105.00	$3,105.00	$0.00	$0.00	$0.00
i. Total Costs	$164,232.00	$41,058.00	$205,290.00	$192.38	$12,409.22	$12,601.60
j. Proportionality Percent	80%	20%	100%	2%	98%	100%

15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Developing a focus on Connecticut specific issues, interaction with AT&T/FirstNet, State and local partners.COVID-19 was a challenge to in-person meetings/outreach sessions and the ability to find time to support COVID-19 activities and this program.

Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response
15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.	4-Agree	What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?	Ongoing information distribution, continue ensureing the elements of the State Plan are completed.
15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	What was most helpful was the detailed support from the support from the various FirstNet subject matter experts, and the presentations on how FirstNet was used in naerby states for actual incidents.

			Having the Broadband Working Group report out to the Governance Body (SIEC) at the SIEC meetings keeps participants up to date.
15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.	**5-Strongly Agree**	**What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?**	
15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).	**5-Strongly Agree**	**What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?**	Networking with other States. Having a better focus for a set period of time.

			Travel support, knowing funds were available in the event technical information gathering was required.
15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.	5-Strongly Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	

16. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents.

16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official:		16c. Telephone:	860-685-8182
William J. Hackett - Connecticut State Emergency Management Director, Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) -State Point of Contact (SPOC)		16d. Email Address:	William.J.Hackett@Ct.Gov
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:	William J. Hackett _Digitally signed by William J. Hackett DN: cn=William J. Hackett, o=DEMHS/DESPP, ou=State Emergency Mgmt Director, email=william.j.hackett@ct.gov, c=US Date: 2021.05.10 09:41:43 -04'00'_	**16e. Date:**	05/10/2021

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Natalie Romanoff, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.