			U.S. Department of Commerce Performance Progress Report	2. Award or Grant Number:	16-10-S13016
			Performance Progress Report	4. EIN:	82-6000952
1. Recipient Name	State of Idaho Military Divisi	on		6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	7/25/2017
3. Street Address	4040 Guard St, Bld 600			7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	6/30/2017
5. City, State, Zip Code	Boise, ID 83705			8. Final Report Yes NoX	9. Report Frequency Quarterly X
10a. Project/Grant Period					
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	8/1/2013	10b. End Date:(MM/DD/YYYY	1/31/2018		多是是数据
11. List the individual projects	in your approved Project Pla	n			
	Project Type (Capacity Building, SCIP Update,	Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)	Description of Milestone Category		
1	Stakeholders Engaged	1093	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeholder meetings during the quarter		
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	5	Actual number of individuals who were sent to third-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant funds during t	he quarter	
3	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	0	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP activities during the quarter (may be a decimal	9	
4	Contracts Executed	1	Actual number of contracts executed during the quarter		
5	Governance Meetings	4	Actual number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings held during the quarter		
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	1,480	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website or socia quarter	I media account supporte	ed by SLIGP during the
7	Subrecipients Agreements Executed	0	Actual number of agreements executed during the quarter		
8	Phase 2 - Coverage	Stage 5			
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Stage 5	For each Phase 2 milestone category, please provide the status of the activity during the quarter: Stage 1 - Process Development		
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Stage 5	Stage 2 - Data Collection in Progress Stage 3 - Collection Complete; Analyzing/Aggregating Data		
11	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Stage 5	Stage 4 - Data Submitted to FirstNet Stage 5 - Continued/Iterative Data Collection		
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision	Stage 5	Stage 6 - Submitted Iterative Data to FirstNet		
Describe your progress me	eeting each major activity/m	ilestone approved in the I	Baseline Report for this project; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you have emplo	yed; planned major activ	vities for the next quarter;

and any additional project milestones or information.

Idaho SLIGP continued outreach and education in Q16. Highlights included the launch of the Idaho "Listening Tour" with deliberate interaction with the first response community in each of Idaho's District Interoperability Governance Board (DIGB) regions. Meetings were held in Districts 4,5, and 6. Idaho developed an updated website and video which highlights the on-going situation with FirstNet. Several additional stakeholder meetings were conducted across the state. These meetings included briefings, questions/answers, and showing the video to various related associations such as the Idaho Association of Cities and the Affliated Tribes of Northwest Indians. The SLIGP team fielded multiple questions from private telecommunications companies regarding the impending launch of FirstNet. SLIGP team members traveled to Portland, OR to conduct a regional meeting with OR, WA, FirstNet, and AT&T. During that meeting, SPP details were discussed and initial negotiations for coverage within Idaho were discussed. The Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC) was granted access to the State Plan Portal (SPP) and began reviewing the portal on 19 June 2017. SLIGP has provided information to the IPSCC directly and will continue to provide conceptual and technical data to the Commission as requested. Follow-up meetings are scheduled for early August. The SLIGP team will continue outreach in Q17 with multiple meetings and the culmination of the "Listening Tour" for DIGB regions 1,2, and 3. "Soft Match" goals were met and exceeded within the quarter.

11b. If the project team anticipates requesting any changes to the approved Baseline Report in the next quarter, describe those below. Note that any substantive changes to the Baseline Report must be approved by the Department of Commerce before implementation.

ldano has exceeded the approved budget matching runds in personnel and fringe this last quarter, idano will be submitting an amended budget request this month.

Request for approval will reduce the other budget category and an increase in personnel and fringe in matching funding.

Amended budget request will also contain a reduction federal funding from travel, wages and fringe and to increase contract category for federal spending this is to support Phase II outreach activities.

11c. Provide any other information that would be useful to NTIA as it assesses this project's progress.

Idaho is largely a rural state with a smaller population and nearly 68% of it's land is federal and state public land. This includes the largest stretch of wilderness in the lower 48 states. We estimate well over 90% of the stakeholder population has been informed over the past several years. Most of the larger stakeholder organizations have been approached and informed multiple times. This has led to many agencies becoming steadily less interested and responsive to outreach. The SLIGP team experienced additional personnel change with Brian Shields replacing Ben Ealey as the program manager on 6 April 2017. Budget realignment as described in 11b will assist Idaho in realistic matching and expenditure for the remainder of the grant period.

11d. Describe any success stories or best practices you have identified. Please be as specific as possible.

The First Responders Network of Idaho video and website gave a visibility boost to outreach. The conduct of an Idaho "Listening Tour" also gave the program a large integration boost specifically with first responders who provided direct input into what they want to see incorporated in the pending network. Stakeholder agencies who implemented the outreach training material into their agency block training provided moderate success in spreading the word. The incorporation of using the IPSCC and DIGBs to conduct outreach provided a definitive feedback loop that will be used when the IPSCC makes a decision recommendation to the Governor.

12. Personnel

12a. If the project is not fully staffed, describe how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed.

Current personnel include Program Manager and Project Manager. Additional Project Manager was been added during Q-12 to assist with implementation of the SCIP in accordance with Phase II authorized activities.

12b. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that have contributed time to the project. Please do not remove individuals from this table.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned	Change	
Program Manager	100%		See comments in 11c	
Project Manager	80%		N/C	
Project Manager	80%		N/C	

13. Subcontracts (Vendors and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Subcontracts Table – Include all subcontractors. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
Val Technologies	SLIGP and PSBAG Consulting	Vendor	N	Υ	7/1/2014	8/13/2014	\$2,580.00	\$0.00
Gloria Totoricaguena	Idaho Technology Summit-Professional Planning	Vendor	N	Υ	10/1/2014	6/6/2015	\$20,000.00	\$0.00
DePaul	Personnel State Contract	Vendor	N	Υ	10/7/2014	1/15/2015	\$1,260.00	\$0.00
Various Vendors	Conference Room for	Vendor	N	Υ	10/29/2014	12/9/2014	\$9,600.00	\$0.00
	Professional Planning, Outreach, and Programmatic Support Services	Vendor	Y	Υ	6/1/2015	12/30/2017	\$227,512.00	\$0.00
Legal Council		Vendor	N	Υ	1/1/2015	12/31/2017	\$18,750.00	\$0.00
IGloria Totoricaguena	Professional Planning, Outreach, and Programmatic Support Services	Vendor	n	у	5/1/2017	12/31/2002	\$46,000.00	\$0.00

14. Budget Worksheet			·			
	t budget for the entire award, which is the SF-424A on fi	ile.				
Only list matching funds that the Department of Co						
Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching	Total Budget (4)	Federal Funds Expended (5)	Approved Matching	Total funds Expended (7)
a. Personnel Salaries	\$614,543.00	\$73,240.00	\$687,783.00	\$478,856.93	\$78,541.27	\$557,398.20
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$245,817.00	\$29,296.00	\$275,113.00	\$192,770.16	\$30,498.29	\$223,268.45
c. Travel	\$197,046.00	\$0.00	\$197,046.00	\$145,236.67	\$0.00	\$145,236.67
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$40,703.00	\$0.00	\$40,703.00	\$36,641.52	\$0.00	\$36,641.52
f. Subcontracts Total	\$279,701.00	\$0.00	\$279,701.00	\$278,181.13	\$0.00	\$278,181.13
g. Other	\$16,142.00	\$270,025.00	\$286,167.00	\$15,609.64	\$189,117.53	\$204,727.17
h. Indirect	\$96,290.00		\$96,290.00	\$78,066.67	\$0.00	\$78,066.67
i. Total Costs	\$1,490,242.00	\$372,561.00	\$1,862,803.00	\$1,225,362.72	\$298,157.09	\$1,523,519.81
j. % of Total	80%	20%	100%	80%	20%	100%
15. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowle	dge and belief that this report is correct and complete	for performance of activities fo	r the purpose(s) set forth	in the award documents.		
16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorize	16c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)	208 -258-6501				
Brad Richy, Deputy Chief, IOEM	16d. Email Address:	brichy@imd.idaho.gov				
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:			·····			
B-00:0	Date:	7/25/2017				