4			U.S. Department of Commerce		2. Award or Grant Number:	16-10-S13016				
			Performance Progress Report		4. EIN:	82-6000952				
1. Recipient Name	State of Idaho Military Division	on			6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	Q18				
3. Street Address	4040 Guard St, Bld 600				(MM/DD/YYYY)	12/31/2017				
5. City, State, Zip Code	Boise, ID 83705					9. Report Frequency Quarterly x				
10a. Project/Grant Period	10a. Project/Grant Period									
Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	8/1/2013	10b. End Date:(MM/DD/YYYY	2/28/2018	30名指导的复数形式 计数据数						
11. List the individual projects i	in your approved Project Plan	n								
		Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description)		Description of Milestone Category						
1	Stakeholders Engaged	275	Actual number of individuals reached via stakeh	older meetings during the quarter						
2 -	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	6	Actual number of individuals who were sent to t	third-party broadband conferences using SLIGP grant funds during th	ne quarter					
3 1	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	0	Actual number of state personnel FTEs who beg	an supporting SLIGP activities during the quarter (may be a decimal,						
	Contracts Executed	0	Actual number of contracts executed during the	quarter						
	Governance Meetings	4		r working group meetings held during the quarter						
6	Education and Outreach Materials Distributed	1393	Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive quarter	e of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website or social	media account supporte	ed by SLIGP during the				
	Subrecipients Agreements Executed	0	Actual number of agreements executed during t	the quarter						
8	Phase 2 - Coverage	Stage 6								
9	Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas	Stage 6	For each Phase 2 milestone category, please pro Stage 1 - Process Development	ovide the status of the activity during the quarter:						
10	Phase 2 – Capacity Planning	Stage 6	 Stage 2 - Data Collection in Progress Stage 3 - Collection Complete; Analyzing/Ag 	ggregating Data						
11 1	Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement	Stage 6	Stage 4 - Data Submitted to FirstNet Stage 5 - Continued/Iterative Data Collection	n						
12	Phase 2 – State Plan Decision	Stage 6	Stage 6 - Submitted Iterative Data to FirstN							
11a. Describe your progress me		ilestone approved in the f	Baseline Report for this project; any challenges of	or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you have emplo	yed; planned major acti	vities for the next quarter;				

Primary activities in Q18 included continued outreach and education to potential stakeholders to include facilitation of initial planning relationships between FirstNet, AT&T, and Idaho agencies. Planning and policy discussions took place with the Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC) and all six District Interoperability Governance Boards (DIGBs) in Idaho. The Idaho SLIGP team took a proactive stance in reaching out to the designated FirstNet/AT&T liaison teams and Radio Access Network (RAN) Engineer teams assigned to build out the RAN in Idaho. This led directly to the facilitation of moving proposed site build locations to better fit Idaho Responder coverage and capacity needs. It also led to AT&T allocating additional sites to Idaho. SLIGP met with planners from FirstNet/AT&T at conferences in Texas and Colorado. The Colorado visit included a tour of the FirstNet Innovation Lab and conduct coordination. Both entities also met up to four additional times in Idaho to discuss on-going progress and to coordinate schedules for the next quarter. The Idaho SLIGP team continues to remain highly engaged with Idaho's communications governance boards providing regular updates and gathering information to pass back to AT&T. Idaho has made solid progress establishing effective working relationships with FirstNet. The SLIGP 1.0 grant activities for January and February will remain focused on a balanced approach between state, local, and tribal engagement. Interaction with governance boards and regional governance boards within the state will increase as the build out occurs and we move into the pending SLIGP 2.0 grant period.

11b. If the project team anticipates requesting any changes to the approved Baseline Report in the next quarter, describe those below. Note that any substantive changes to the Baseline Report must be approved by the Department of Commerce before implementation.

11c. Provide any other information that would be useful to NTIA as it assesses this project's progress.

The Idaho SLIGP team has begun shifting focus from community education and outreach to coordination with communications governance boards and FirstNet/AT&T planners. This approach will help facilitate the transition from SLIGP 1.0 parameters to SLIGP 2.0 parameters. Since adoption of the FirstNet plan was completed, collaboration in determining the implementation of the built out will begin to take on more importance. The Idaho SLIGP team continues to reach out to remote areas of the state to include Local Emergency Planning Committees as well as specialized agencies who are considered stakeholders (potential primary and extended users). Collaboration and coordination with the IPSCC and regional DIGBs has increased. Collaboration and coordination with FirstNet/AT&T planners has successfully and significantly increased in Q17 and Q18. Project Manager separated in Sept of 17 was backfilled with another project manager (Steve Woodall) in October 2017. Project manager Bob Wells separated in November of 2017. FTE will not be filled.

11d. Describe any success stories or best practices you have identified. Please be as specific as possible.

The Idaho SLIGP team experienced solid success this period in establishing working relationships with regional FirstNet planners. On four separate occasions, planners from FirstNet have met with Idaho planners to discuss coverage concerns and to coordinate proposed build site locations within the State. This has led to improvements on the state broadband coverage plan. Idaho has also worked diligently to foster cross border relationships with bordering states to coordinate mutual coverage possibilities. Via direct involvement of the SPOC, IPSCC, and DIGBs, the stakeholders and planners are being kept informed of actions being taken since the Opt In decision. The SLIGP is also working more closely with NG 9-1-1 planners as both systems are being implemented nationwide.

12. Personnel

12a. If the project is not fully staffed, describe how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed. Bob Wells/Project Manager separated in November of 17. Position will not be filled due to period of performance remaining. There will be no impact to overall program.

12b. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that have contributed time to the project. Please do not remove individuals from this table.

Job Title	FTE%	Project (s) Assigned	Change
Program Manager	100%		N/C
Project Manager	80%		See comments in 11c/12a
Project Manager	80%		N/C

13. Subcontracts (Vendors and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Subcontracts Table - Include all subcontractors. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Type (Vendor/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N)	Contract Executed	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds Allocated	Total Matching Funds Allocated
Val Technologies	SLIGP and PSBAG Consulting	Vendor	N	Y	7/1/2014	8/13/2014	\$2,580.00	\$0.00
Gloria Totoricaguena	Idaho Technology Summit-Professional Planning	Vendor	N	Υ	10/1/2014	6/6/2015	\$20,000.00	\$0.00
DePaul	Personnel State Contract	Vendor	N	Υ	10/7/2014	1/15/2015	\$1,260.00	\$0.00
Various Vendors	Conference Room for	Vendor	N	Y	10/29/2014	12/9/2014	\$9,600.00	\$0.00
Science Applications International Corporation	Professional Planning, Outreach, and Programmatic Support Services	Vendor	Υ	Y	6/1/2015	12/30/2017	\$227,512.00	\$0.00
Legal Council		Vendor	N	Υ	1/1/2015	2/28/2017	\$18,750.00	\$0.00
Gloria Totoricaguena	Professional Planning, Outreach, and Programmatic Support Services	Vendor	n	У	5/1/2017	9/31/2017	\$46,000.00	\$0.00

14. Budget Worksheet						
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your current proje	ct budget for the entire award, which is the SF-424A on f	ile.				
Only list matching funds that the Department of Co	ommerce has already approved.					
Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching	Total Budget (4)	Federal Funds Expended (5)	Approved Matching	Total funds Expended (7
a. Personnel Salaries	\$614,543.00	\$73,240.00	\$687,783.00	\$540,918.14	\$104,248.89	\$645,167.03
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$245,817.00	\$29,296.00	\$275,113.00	\$217,656.55	\$42,299.85	\$259,956.40
c. Travel	\$197,046.00	\$0.00	\$197,046.00	\$157,428.62	\$0.00	\$157,428.62
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
e. Materials/Supplies	\$40,703.00	\$0.00	\$40,703.00	\$37,983.71	\$0.00	\$37,983.71
f. Subcontracts Total	\$279,701.00	\$0.00	\$279,701.00	\$301,814.25	\$0.00	\$301,814.25
g. Other	\$16,142.00	\$270,025.00	\$286,167.00	\$15,609.64	\$203,600.19	\$219,209.83
h. Indirect	\$96,290.00		\$96,290.00	\$89,267.78	\$0.00	\$89,267.78
i. Total Costs	\$1,490,242.00	\$372,561.00	\$1,862,803.00	\$1,360,678.69	\$350,148.93	\$1,710,827.62
j. % of Total	80%	20%	100%	80%	20%	100%
15. Certification: I certify to the best of my knowle	edge and belief that this report is correct and complete	for performance of activities fo	r the purpose(s) set forth I	n the award documents.		
16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorize	16c. Telephone (area code, number, and extension)	brichy@imd.idaho.gov				
Brad Richy, Deputy Chief	16d. Email Address:					
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:	0:0					
	Date:	1/18/2018				