SLIGP 2.0 Grant Closeout Report					2. Award or Grant Number:	20-10-S18020		
						4. EIN:	48-1124839	
1. Recipient Name	Kansas Adjutant General's Department					6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY)	06/08/2021	
3. Street Address	2800 SW Topeka Blvd					7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2021	
- e: e: -:						8. Final Report		
5. City, State, Zip	Topeka Kansas 66611-1220					Yes 🗸		
Code						No 🗆		
9. Project/Grant Period	I			10. Reserved for				
9a. Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	9b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY)	03/31/2021		Reviewer				
11. Program Activities								
11a. Identify the activ	ties you performed during SLIGP2.0 grant peri	od of performance						
	Activity Type (Planning, Governance	Was this Activity Performed during the grant period?	Total Project Deliverable Quantity		Description of Activity Deli	Deliverable Quantity		
	Meetings, etc.)	(Yes/No)	(Number)					
1	Governance Meetings	Yes	15	Cumulative number of governance, subcommittee, or working group meetings related to the NPSBN held during the grant period				
2	Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences	No		Cumulative number of individuals sent to national or regional third-party conferences with a focus or training track related to the NPSBN using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period			ocus or training track	
3	Convened Stakeholder Events	Yes	1	Cumulative number of events coordinated or held using SLIGP 2.0 grant funds during the grant period, as requesi FirstNet.			period, as requested by	
4	Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE)	No		Cumulative number of state/territory personnel FTEs who began supporting SLIGP 2.0 activities during the gran (may be a decimal).			s during the grant period	
5	Contracts Executed	No		Cumulative number of contracts executed during the grant period.				
6	Subrecipient Agreements Executed	No		Cumulative number of agreements executed during the grant period.				
7	Data Sharing Policies/Agreements Developed	No		Yes or No if data shar	ing policies and/or agreements were develo	ped during the grant period.		
8	Further Identification of Potential Public Safety Users	No		Yes or No if further identification of potential public safety users occurred during the grant period.			od.	
9	Plans for Emergency Communications Technology Transitions	No		Yes or No if plans for future emergency communications technology transitions occurred during the grant period.			g the grant period.	
10	Identified and Planned to Transition PS Apps & Databases	No		Yes or No if public safety applications or databases within the State or territory were identified and transition plawere developed during the grant period			d and transition plans	
11	Identify Ongoing Coverage Gaps	No		Yes or No if participated in identifying ongoing coverage gaps using SLIGP 2.0 funds during the grant period.				
12	Data Collection Activities	No		Yes or No if participated in data collection activities as requested by FirstNet				

11b. Please provide a description of each activity reported in response to Question 11; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you employed; and any additional project milestones or information.
Kansas held 15 governance meetings through the grant performance period. Each of these meeting were convened with AT&T FirstNet and the FirstNet Authority to receive updates on network development, operational planning, public safety user adoption, best practices, and operational governance consideration for the state. During each meeting both entities were allotted time for information sharing and discussion. During the meetings the SIAC and SPOC assisted, with feedback, both FirstNet entities in planning for improving adoption, coverage gaps as identified and potential thoughts on improvement of of disaster mitigation through broadband usage. These governance meeting helped the state of Kansas build on a strong relationship foundation with both AT&T and FirstNet through continued by weekly meeting with the SPOC which has developed into a long term plan of continuing beyond the grant a cadence of meeting with both AT&T and FirstNet for the foreseeable future. Only one stakeholder meeting was convened during the performance period and provided a large group of responders to receive a hands-on approach to what the network is and how it can and will benefit public safety for the future.

c. Did you perform activities during the last quarter of the grant that haven't been reported previously (i.e., new programmatic activities, staffing changes)? If so, please describe. ne
d. Bloom share any loss one learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SUGD 2.0 project
d. Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP 2.0 project. ring the performance period we were unable to fulfill a full time position to administer the grant effectively. Having a full time dedicated position to support FirstNet (ATT) and FirstNet authority would have better assisted in support and ssibly adoption rates of FirstNet in Kansas.
sight adoption rates of FirstNet in Kansas.

12. Personnel									
12a. Staffing Table - Please include all staff that contributed time to the project with utilization. Please only include government staff employed by the state/territory NOT contractors.									
Job Title	FTE%				Project (s	s) Assigned			
SPOC	50%	All FirstNet ATT and First	Net Authority requests and go	vernance for the state	of Kansas				
5. 5 5	3070	All FirstNet ATT and FirstNet Authority requests and governance for the state of Kansas all FirstNet ATT and FirstNet Authority requests and governance for the state of Kansas as directed by the SPOC. This position was only active for less than two months of the total performance period.							
Broadband manager	100%	Position was not filled af		vernance for the state	Of Ransas as un ecteu	by the 3r oc. This posi	ction was only active to	i less than two months of the	total periormance period.
bi Gaubanu managei	100%	Position was not filled at	ter that time.						
13. Contractual (Contr		(mate)							
13. Contractual (Contr	act and/or Subrecipie	ents)	table should equal the "Conti		a.£				
13a. Contractual Table	– include all contrac	tors. The totals from this	table should equal the Conti	nactual in Question 14		ı	1	Takal Fada aal Faada	Tatal Matabia - Founda
Name	Subcont	ract Purpose	Type (Contract/Subrec.)	RFP/RFQ Issued	Contract Executed	Start Date	End Date	Total Federal Funds	Total Matching Funds
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,, - (, ,	(Y/N)	(Y/N)			Allocated	Allocated
-									
Ī	1		1		İ	1	1	İ	1

Total Funds Allocated to Contracts							\$0.00	\$0.00		
14. Budget Worksheet										
Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your projec	Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter									
Project Budget Element (1)	Federal Funds Awarded (2)	Approved Matching Funds (3)	Total Bu	ıdget (4)	Final Federal Funds Expended (5)	Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6)	Final Total Funds	Expended (7)		
a. Personnel Salaries	\$147,019.50	\$114,580.90	\$261,600.40		\$21,721.22	\$18,066.01	\$39,787	.23		
b. Personnel Fringe Benefits	\$34,910.00	\$41,249.12	\$76,1	59.12	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		
c. Travel	\$114,520.00	\$19,169.97	\$133,6	589.97	\$4,815.89	\$0.00	\$4,815.	89		
d. Equipment	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.	.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
e. Materials/Supplies	\$14,349.50	\$0.00		49.50	\$2,642.75	\$0.00	\$2,642.			
f. Contractual	\$355,575.00	\$0.00	\$355,5	575.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
g. Other	\$12,066.00	\$0.00	\$12,0	66.00	\$558.15	\$0.00	\$558.1	.5		
h. Indirect	\$21,560.00	\$0.00		60.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
i. Total Costs	\$700,000.00	\$174,999.99	\$874,9	999.99	\$29,738.01	\$18,066.01	\$47,804	.02		
j. Proportionality Percent	80%		10		62%	38%	100%	i		
15. Additional Questions: Read each statem	15. Additional Questions: Read each statement below. Rate your level of agreement or disagreement with each statement and answer follow-up questions to provide additional information.									
Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Que	estions			Response				
15a. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in planning for the integration with the NPSBN.	3-Neutral	What was most helpful? Wha encounter?			e for transition and buil best use the funding.	aing on the relationship	os with FirstNet. Challenges w	ere finding the right		

15b. I plan to continue any SLIGP 2.0 program activities beyond the SLIGP 2.0 period of performance.	5-Strongly Agree	What do you plan to accomplish after the period of performance?	Continue relationship building with Both ATT and the Authority towards PS adoption of the PSBN for public safety and governance of usage for emergency deployments.
15c. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in informing my stakeholders about FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	Yes both on the governance side and general public safety forum that were accomplished during the performance period helped establish the PSBN as a dedicated broadband option for public safety. Primary challenge through the performance period were changing the historical misinformation on coverage in the state.
Statement	Agree/Disagree	Additional Questions	Response

15d. SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in maintaining a governance structure for broadband in my state/territory.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	
15e. SLIGP 2.0 funds provided resources that were helpful in preparing for FirstNet planning activities in my state/territory (e.g. staffing, attending broadband conferences, participating in training, procuring contract support etc.).	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?	The most helpful was with attendance to conferences and staffing. Challenges were for the state to maintain a short term position.

			Most helpful was solidifying the governance	structure to support t	he PSBN beyond the grant period and help establish
			long term relationships with the authority ar	nd ATT. Additionally it	gave the time needed to create a position requirement
			within an existing group in state governmen	t to continue to suppo	rt the PSBN as needed.
456 Ownell SUCD 2 Of and a ware balaful in		18/h-2			
15f. Overall, SLIGP 2.0 funds were helpful in preparing for FirstNet.	4-Agree	What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter?			
preparing for Firstivet.		encounter:			
	•	t this report is correct and complete for performal		n the award documen	ts.
16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official: Jonathan York, Response & Recovery Branch Director					(785) 646-2501
				16d. Email Address:	jonathan.r.york5.nfg@mail.mil
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official:					
				16e. Date:	06/08/2021

Public Burden Statement: According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 25 hours per response. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden to Natalie Romanoff, Program Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 1401 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room 4078, Washington, DC 20230.