							2.p. a.c Date: 0/31/2010		
		U.S.	Department of Commerce	2. Award or Grant Number: 26-10-S13026					
		Pei	formance Progress Report	4. EIN: 38-6000134					
1. Recipien	t Name : Michigan State	Police –	State 911 Administrative Section	6. Report Date (MM/DD/YYYY): October 30, 2013					
3. Street Ac	ddress: P.O. Box 30634	333 S Gra	nd Ave.			7. Reporting Period End Date: September 30, 2013			
5. City, Stat	te, Zip Code: Lansing, M	I 48909-0	634			8. Final Report Yes X No	9. Report Frequency X Quarterly		
-	t/Grant Period te: 09/01/2013	10b. En	d Date: 08/31/2016						
11. List the	e individual projects in y	our appr	oved Project Plan			'			
	Project Type (Capacity		Project Deliverable Quantity	Total Federal	Total Federa	I Funding Amount expended	Percent of Total Federal Funding		
Building, SCIP Update,		(Number & Indicator	Funding Amount	at the end o	f this reporting period	Amount expended			
Outreach, Training etc.)		Description)	<u> </u>		·				
1 Stakeholder Meetings		247							
2	Conferences		7						
3 Staff Hires (FTE)		.6			arenti ere erreta (h. 1915). Maringa erreta (h. 1916).				
4			0						
5	Governance Meetings		0						
6	6 Education and Outreach Materials		40						
7 Sub-recipient Agreements Executed		0							
8	Phase 2		None						
ماا	1								

11a. Describe your progress meeting each major activity/milestone approved in the Baseline Report for this project; any challenges or obstacles encountered and mitigation strategies you have employed; planned major activities for the next quarter; and any additional project milestones or information.

Milestone Activities: Michigan did not receive the grant notice until 9/17/2013, so many of the planned activities were on hold until we could receive the grant money for use in contractual support and additional state staff, so very limited activities occurred from September 17 through September 30. Many of the intended activities, such as contract executions are expected to occur in the next reporting cycle. We were able to identify 3 events where Public Safety Broadband and FirstNet were explicitly covered to educate and inform members of the Michigan Wireless Association, Michigan Government Management Information Sciences, Michigan APCO, Michigan Communication Directors Association, a statewide communications staff meeting, and two separate online webinars (used to test a new venue for outreach) where I was able to reach a total of 247 representatives. Fortunately, Michigan had planned these meetings when the award was anticipated for July, so the meetings were already on the calendar during this quarter and we planned to continue the efforts even if the SLIGP had not been awarded yet. Limited state staff have been involved through this quarter, but planned staffing will be brought in to work the activities and support the efforts going forward. Forty hand outs were supplied with one of the earlier groups to attempt to identify easier means to disseminate information and "take-aways" for staff attending to see if it creates a growing desire for others to attend future events.

FirstNet Regional meeting in St. Louis, June 12-13 was attended by 7 state of Michigan representatives with a mix of disciplines.

11b. If the project team anticipates requesting any changes to the approved Baseline Report in the next quarter, describe those below. Note that any substantive changes to the Baseline Report must be approved by the Department of Commerce before implementation.

With the delayed award many of our planned efforts, specifically contract executions are delayed as we scramble to use limited resources until contractual staff can be brought on to aide in monthly status to the workgroups, and development of outreach materials and venues. Given the fact that this next quarter covers two major holidays we may see a ripple effect across some of our planned outreach efforts until contractual staff are back in place. We expect this to be resolved and no longer an issue by the third reporting cycle allowing the State of Michigan to move forward with expected deliverables as planned.

11c. Provide any other information that would be useful to NTIA as it assesses this project's progress.

As this quarter was very short (award to end of quarter for Michigan), very few activities other than outreach were able to be engaged. We still see a ripple into the next quarter as the state worked to identify the in-kind match tracking mechanisms prior to actual fiduciary sign-off for the grant award (October 17, 213).

11d. Describe any success stories or best practices you have identified. Please be as specific as possible.

Webinars may be a useful tool for geographically diverse staff, but will not work for all people to engage in an education and outreach effort.

12. Personnei

12a. If the project is not fully staffed, describe how any lack of staffing may impact the project's time line and when the project will be fully staffed.

As identified previously, as the award to end of quarter was very short no additional staff were brought in and a lapse was created between contractual staffing we had in place to the actual award to the state, where our state dollars ran out supporting the contractor prior to SLIGP award to retain the contractor. This will be an impact if the state must start over with new contractual staff to support Michigan's Public Safety Broadband Program.

12b. Staffing Table

Job Title		Project(s) Assigned	Change	
SWIC	.5	Provide oversight of all SLIGP project activities and outreach/education efforts	Started work on SLIGP	
Grant Director	.10	Provide oversight of all grant fiduciary activities and reporting requirements for the	Started work on SLIGP	
		state.		

Add Row Remove Row

13. Subcontracts (Vendors and/or Subrecipients)

13a. Subcontracts Table - Include all subcontractors. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in Question 14f.

Name	Subcontract Purpose	Туре	RFP/RFQ	Contract	Start	End	Total Federal	Total Matching	Project and % Assigned
		(Vendor/Subrec.)	Issued	Executed	Date	Date	Funds Allocated	Funds Allocated	_
			(Y/N)	(Y/N)					

Cynergyze	Outreach and Education - webina	I	endor	N	N	09/17/2 013	09/30/ 2013	\$0	\$8,880			
				ja, araya	Add Row	Remo	ve Row					
13b. Describe a	any challenges encoun	tered with v	endors and/o	or subrecip	ients.							
•			-			-			-	tual resources back to support v activities and the vendor		
14. Budget Wo	orksheet											
Only list match	nd 4 must match your ing funds that the Dep	artment of (Commerce ha	s already ap	oproved.		··					
Project Budget		ral Funds ded (2)	Approved I Funds (3)	Matching	Total Budget (4)	,	leral Funds bended (5)	Approved Matc Expended		Total Funds Expended (7)		
a. Personnel Sa	alaries \$	793,042	\$442	,167	\$1,235,209		\$0	\$2592	2.	\$2592		
b. Personnel Fi	ringe Benefits \$	504,423	\$298	3,997	\$903,420		\$0	\$204	7	\$2047		
c. Travel	\$,	169,368	\$	10	\$469,368		\$1405	\$296	5	\$4371		
d. Equipment		\$0	\$	50	\$0		\$0	0		-		
e. Materials/St	upplies \$	11,918	\$14	,600	\$26,518		\$0	0				
f. Subcontracts	Total \$1	,418,136	\$56	,160	\$1,474,296	5	\$0	\$8,88	0	\$8,880		
g. Other	\$	50,130	\$25	,650	\$75,780		\$0	0				
h. Total Costs	_\$3	,347,017	\$837	7,574	\$4,184,593		\$1405	\$16,48	35	\$17,890		
i. % of Total		80%	20	0%	100%		8.5%	91.5%	6	100%		
15. Certification	on: I certify to the best	of my knov	vledge and be	lief that th	is report is corr	ect and co	mplete for pe	rformance of activit	ies for the purpo	ose(s) set forth in the award		
documents.												
16a. Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official						16d. E	16d. Email Address					
Laura Blastic, SLIGP Program Manager, Department of Technology, Management & Budget (DTMB), Center for Shared Solution (CSS)						blastic	blasticl@michigan.gov					
16b. Signature of Authorized Certifying Official						16e. D	16e. Date Report Submitted (month, day, year)					
Mai	Maura Blastic							November 25, 2013				

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act, as amended, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 10 hours per response for the application process, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data

sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Michael Dame, Director, State and Local Implementation Grant Program, Office of Public Safety Communications, National Telecommunications and Information Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce (DOC), 1401 Constitution Avenue, N.W., HCHB, Room 7324, Washington, D.C. 20230.