

| U.S. Department of Commerce State and Local Implementation Grant Program Close Out Report | | | 2. Award or Grant Number: | 39-10-513039 |
|--|---|---|--|--------------|
| 1. Recipient Name | | | 4. EIN: | |
| State of Ohio, Department of Administrative Services, OIT | | | | |
| 3. Street Address | | | 7. Reporting Period End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) | 2/28/2018 |
| 30 E Broad St, 39th floor | | | | |
| 5. City, State, Zip Code | | | Columbus, Ohio 43215 | |
| 10a. Project/Grant Period | | | | |
| Start Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) | 7/1/2013 | 10b. End Date: (MM/DD/YYYY) | 2/28/2018 | |
| Part A: Metrics - Final PPR Milestone Data (cumulative through the last quarter) | | | | |
| | Project Type (Capacity Building, SCIP Update, | Project Deliverable Quantity (Number & Indicator Description) | Description of Milestone Category | |
| 1 | Stakeholders Engaged | 3066 | Actual number of individuals reached via stakeholder meetings during the period of performance | |
| 2 | Individuals Sent to Broadband Conferences | 135 | Actual number of individuals who were sent to third-party broadband conferences using SLUGP grant funds during the period of performance | |
| 3 | Staff Hired (Full-Time Equivalent)(FTE) | 3 | Actual number of state personnel FTEs who began supporting SLUGP activities during the period of performance (may be a decimal) | |
| 4 | Contracts Executed | 6 | Actual number of contracts executed during the period of performance | |
| 5 | Governance Meetings | 38 | Actual number of governance, subcommittees, or working group meetings held during the period of performance | |
| 6 | Education and Outreach Materials Distributed | 10,591 | Actual volume of materials distributed (inclusive of paper and electronic materials) plus hits to any website or social media account supported by SLUGP during the period of performance | |
| 7 | Subrecipient Agreements Executed | 0 | Actual number of agreements executed during the period of performance | |
| 8 | Phase 2 - Coverage | Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | Please choose the option that best describes the data you provided to FirstNet in each category during the period of performance: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not Complete • Partial Dataset Submitted to FirstNet • Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | |
| 9 | Phase 2 – Users and Their Operational Areas | Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | | |
| 10 | Phase 2 – Capacity Planning | Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | | |
| 11 | Phase 2 – Current Providers/Procurement | Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | | |
| 12 | Phase 2 – State Plan Decision | Complete Dataset Submitted to FirstNet | | |
| Part B: Narrative | | | | |
| Milestone Data Narrative: Please Describe in detail the types of milestone activities your SLUGP grant funded (Please reference each project type you engaged in. Example: Governance Meetings, Stakeholders Engaged) | | | | |
| For stakeholder outreach, education, and engagement, we delivered consistent and inspiring messages through targeted use of web, face-to-face meetings and print newsletters. We developed three videos that educated stakeholders about FirstNet, LTE, and broadband, and a documentary about a pilot project that included dedicated band 14 cellular networks for real-world events and exercises at multiple OSU football games and a three-day exercise in Beavercreek Township. We also developed three situational awareness games for first responders that simulated the experience of the life-saving potential of an exclusive public safety broadband network provides when commercial networks fail. Face-to-face workshops and meetings were also held in conjunction with local first responders at various conferences and local events. Regularly scheduled governance meetings were held for SIEC, NPSBN sub-committees, and Region V Homeland Security. | | | | |
| Please describe in detail any SLUGP program priority areas (education and outreach, governance, etc.) that you plan to continue beyond the SLUGP period of performance. | | | | |
| We will continue to provide ad hoc guidance and support to primary and extended responders. | | | | |

Data collection narrative: Please describe in detail the status of your SLIGP funded data collection activities.

We have completed all data collection initiatives supported by funds from the US NTIA State and Local Implementation Grant Programs, as reported in State of Ohio Coverage Needs Report, OhioFirst.net Implementation Project report.

Please describe in detail any data collection activities you plan to continue beyond the SLIGP period of performance.

No data collection activities are planned.

Lessons Learned: Please share any lessons learned or best practices that your organization implemented during your SLIGP project. Still evaluating our outcomes necessary to onboard new subscribers within state contractual agreements.

We learned that outreach was key, collecting CAD incident records from agencies was extremely challenging and that extensive personal relationships need to be leveraged to encourage stakeholder feedback; people were much more likely to respond to an inquiry from somebody they already knew.

Part C: Staffing

Staffing Table - Please provide a summary of all positions funded by SLIGP.

| Name | FTE% | Project(s) Assigned | Change |
|----------------------|------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| SWIC | 0.33 | Spent 30% of FTE on SLIGP activities | |
| Grants Administrator | 0.4 | Spent 40% of FTE on SLIGP activities | |
| Project Manager | 1 | Spent 100% of FTE on SLIGP activities | |
| Budget Analyst | 1 | Spent 100% of FTE on SLIGP activities | |
| Outreach Coordinator | 1 | Spent 100% of FTE on SLIGP activities | |

Part D: Contracts and Funding

Subcontracts Table – include all subcontractors engaged during the period of performance. The totals from this table must equal the "Subcontracts Total" in your Budget Worksheet

| Name | Subcontract Purpose | Type [Vendor/Subrec.] | RFP/RFQ Issued (Y/N) | Total Federal Funds Allocated | Total Matching Funds Allocated |
|-----------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Keith Singleton | Website Development/Marketing Consultant | Vendor | N | \$49,500.00 | |
| TBD | Marketing and Promotion | Vendor | N | \$18,000.00 | |
| ATST | Data Collection | Vendor | N | \$623,040.00 | |
| TBD | SCIP Consultant | Vendor | N | \$21,000.00 | |
| TBD | MOU/MOA Consultant | Vendor | N | \$36,480.00 | |
| CAI Inc | Project Manager | Vendor | N | \$423,335.00 | |
| CAI Inc | Outreach Coordinator | Vendor | N | \$271,848.00 | |
| InGenesis | Budget Analyst | Vendor | N | \$135,472.00 | |
| ATST | Phase One | Vendor | Y | \$660,000.00 | |
| Sophisticated Systems | Future Users Project Outreach Consultants | Vendor | N | \$460,460.00 | |

Budget Worksheet

Columns 2, 3 and 4 must match your project budget for the entire award and your final SF 424A. Columns 5, 6, and 7 should list your final budget figures, cumulative through the last quarter

| Project Budget Element (1) | Federal Funds Awarded (2) | Approved Matching Funds (3) | Total Budget (4) | Final Federal Funds Expended (5) | Final Approved Matching Funds Expended (6) | Final Total funds Expended (7) |
|------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| a. Personnel Salaries | \$0.00 | \$282,910.00 | \$282,910.00 | | \$62,314.00 | \$62,314.00 |
| b. Personnel Fringe Benefits | \$0.00 | \$112,952.00 | \$112,952.00 | | \$41,592.00 | \$41,592.00 |
| c. Travel | \$180,240.00 | \$107,643.00 | \$287,883.00 | \$46,897.00 | | \$46,897.00 |
| d. Equipment | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | \$0.00 |
| e. Materials/Supplies | \$50,250.00 | \$48,294.00 | \$98,544.00 | \$30,270.00 | \$30,949.00 | \$61,219.00 |
| f. Subcontracts Total | \$3,027,719.00 | \$0.00 | \$3,027,719.00 | \$2,699,135.00 | | \$2,699,135.00 |
| g. Other | \$380,481.00 | \$357,875.00 | \$738,356.00 | \$154,460.05 | \$795,841.00 | \$950,301.05 |
| Indirect | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | | | \$0.00 |
| h. Total Costs | \$3,638,690.00 | \$909,674.00 | \$4,548,364.00 | \$2,930,762.05 | \$930,696.00 | \$3,861,458.05 |
| i. % of Total | 80% | 20% | 100% | 76% | 24% | 100% |

| Part E: Additional Questions: Please select the option (Strongly Disagree, Disagree, Neutral, Agree, Somewhat Agree, Strongly Agree) that best suits your answer. | | | |
|---|----------------|---|--|
| Overall, were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for FirstNet? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>It was most helpful having SLIGP funding resources to prepare for FirstNet. The SLIGP funds enabled us to reach out to multiple local agencies across the state. We were challenged by low participant turn-out at events. Attendance was often less than planned and/or expected and this remained a challenge throughout the grant.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in planning for your FirstNet consultation? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds provided us with the ability to access additional resources like consultants, contracted services, various workshops, seminars and knowledge exchange opportunities.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in informing your stakeholders about FirstNet? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds were helpful when informing stakeholders about FirstNet. We experienced high stakeholder turnover throughout the grant. This delivered challenges for attaining the right stakeholder/attendees mix and balance at events. The subsequent knowledge gap between stakeholders/attendees often hindered the opportunities for greater knowledge transfer.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in developing a governance structure for broadband in your state? | Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds were helpful in developing our governance structure. The challenge that we encountered was that the governance structure that we thought was appropriate, turned out not to be as the opt-in plan was executed. Extended first-responders presented challenges to our governance structure.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing your staff for FirstNet activities in your state (e.g. attending broadband conferences, participating in training, purchasing software, etc.)? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds were helpful in preparing our staff for FirstNet because they provided funding for training opportunities that met different groups' needs, requirements and interests. Technical groups, governance and administrative centric groups attended conferences that addressed their unique and specific concerns. These opportunities also provided teams with networking opportunities with other attendees and participating vendors at many events.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in updating your Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds helped update our statewide communications interoperability plan by providing direction, samples, and outlines of future needs for SCIP updates.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in preparing for your review of the FirstNet developed State Plan? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>SLIGP funds helped us prepare to review the FirstNet State Plan by facilitating our engagement with consultants who identified shortcomings and advantages within the terms and conditions of the State Plan.</i> |
| Were SLIGP funds helpful in conducting FirstNet determined data collection? | Strongly Agree | What was most helpful? What challenges did you encounter? | <i>We would not have had the fiscal resources to complete the statewide data collection without the SLIGP funds.</i> |
| Part F: Certification: I certify to the best of my knowledge and belief that this report is correct and complete for performance of activities for the purpose(s) set forth in the award documents. | | | |
| Typed or printed name and title of Authorized Certifying Official: | | Telephone (area code, number, and extension) | 614-466-2257 |
| Richard Schmahl, MARCS Program Director, SWIC, SPOC | | Email Address: | richard.schmahl@das.ohio.gov |
| Signature of Authorized Certifying Official: | | Date: | 5/29/2018 |
|  | | | |

