OMB Number: 4040-0004 Expiration Date: 10/31/2019

Application for	Federal Assista	nce SF	-424						
* 1. Type of Submiss	ion:	* 2. Typ	e of Application:	* If	evision, select appropriate letter(s):	1			
Preapplication		New							
Application		Co	ontinuation	* Ot	ner (Specify):				
Changed/Corre	ected Application	Re	evision						
* 3. Date Received:		4. Appli	cant Identifier:			_			
12/04/2017		2018-	SLIGP-2.0-ID						
5a. Federal Entity Ide	entifier:				b. Federal Award Identifier:				
NTIA									
State Use Only:									
6. Date Received by	State: 09/27/201	17	7. State Application	Ide	tifier: 2018_SLIGP_2.20				
8. APPLICANT INFO	ORMATION:								
* a. Legal Name: S	tate of Idaho	Milita	ry Division						
* b. Employer/Taxpa	yer Identification Nur	mber (EIN	I/TIN):	*	c. Organizational DUNS:				
82-6000952					050115440000				
d. Address:									
* Street1:	4040 Guard St								
Street2:	Building 600								
* City:	Boise	Boise							
County/Parish:	Ada								
* State:					ID: Idaho				
Province:									
* Country:					USA: UNITED STATES				
* Zip / Postal Code:	83705-5004								
e. Organizational U	Jnit:								
Department Name:				1	ivision Name:				
ID Office of E	mergency Manag	gem							
f. Name and contact information of person to be contacted on matters involving this application:									
Prefix:			* First Nam	e:	Karen				
Middle Name:									
* Last Name: Wal	len								
Suffix:									
Title: IOEM Grant	s Branch Chie	f							
Organizational Affilia	tion:								
* Telephone Number	: 208-258-6518	}			Fax Number:				
*Email: kwallen@imd.idaho.gov									

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424
* 9. Type of Applicant 1: Select Applicant Type:
A: State Government
Type of Applicant 2: Select Applicant Type:
Type of Applicant 3: Select Applicant Type:
* Other (specify):
* 10. Name of Federal Agency:
National Telecommunications and Information Admini
11. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number:
11.549
CFDA Title:
State and Local Implementation Grant Program
* 12. Funding Opportunity Number:
2018-NTIA-SLIGP-2
* Title:
State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP) 2.0
13. Competition Identification Number:
2018-NTIA-SLIGP-2
Title:
State and Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP) 2.0
14. Areas Affected by Project (Cities, Counties, States, etc.):
Add Attachment Delete Attachment View Attachment
* 15. Descriptive Title of Applicant's Project:
FY 18 SLIGP 2.0
Attach supporting documents as specified in agency instructions.
Add Attachments Delete Attachments View Attachments

Application for Federal Assistance SF-424						
16. Congressional	Districts Of:					
* a. Applicant	)1			* b. Program/Projec	ot 01,02	
Attach an additional	list of Program/Project 0	Congressional Distric	ets if needed.			
			Add Attachment	Delete Attachmen	View Attachment	
17. Proposed Proje	ect:					
* a. Start Date: 03	/01/2018			* b. End Dat	<b>e</b> : 02/29/2020	
18. Estimated Fund	ding (\$):					
* a. Federal		700,000.00				
* b. Applicant		0.00				
* c. State		175,000.00				
* d. Local		0.00				
* e. Other		0.00				
* f. Program Income		0.00				
* g. TOTAL		875,000.00				
* 19. Is Application	n Subject to Review B	y State Under Exec	cutive Order 12372 Pr	rocess?		
a. This applica	tion was made availab	le to the State unde	er the Executive Orde	r 12372 Process for re	eview on	
b. Program is s	subject to E.O. 12372	but has not been se	elected by the State for	or review.		
c. Program is r	not covered by E.O. 12	2372.				
	ant Delinquent On Any —	/ Federal Debt? (If	"Yes," provide expla	nation in attachment	.)	
Yes	⊠ No					
If "Yes", provide ex	xplanation and attach					
			Add Attachment	Delete Attachmen	View Attachment	
21. *By signing this application, I certify (1) to the statements contained in the list of certifications** and (2) that the statements herein are true, complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge. I also provide the required assurances** and agree to comply with any resulting terms if I accept an award. I am aware that any false, fictitious, or fraudulent statements or claims may subject me to criminal, civil, or administrative penalties. (U.S. Code, Title 218, Section 1001)  ** I AGREE*  ** The list of certifications and assurances, or an internet site where you may obtain this list, is contained in the announcement or agency specific instructions.						
Authorized Repres	sentative:	_				
Prefix:		* Firs	st Name: Karen			
Middle Name:						
	len					
Suffix:						
* Title: IOEM	Grants Branch Ch	ief				
* T'ul-		ief	Fi	ax Number:		
* Title: IOEM  * Telephone Number		ief	F	ax Number:		

OMB Number: 4040-0006 Expiration Date: 01/31/2019

## **SECTION A - BUDGET SUMMARY**

Grant Program Function or	Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance	Estimated Unob			New or Revised Budget				
Activity (a)	Number (b)	Federal (c)	Non-Federal (d)		Federal (e)		Non-Federal (f)		Total (g)
1. SLIGP 2.0	11.549	\$	\$	\$	700,000.00	\$	175,000.00	\$	875,000.00
2.									
3.									
4.									
5. Totals		\$	\$	\$ [	700,000.00	\$ [	175,000.00	\$	875,000.00

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7- 97) Prescribed by OMB (Circular A -102) Page 1

#### **SECTION B - BUDGET CATEGORIES**

6 Object Class Catogories		GRANT PROGRAM,	Total		
6. Object Class Categories	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
	SLIGP 2.0				
a. Personnel	\$ 253,942.00	\$	\$	\$	\$ 253,942.00
b. Fringe Benefits	106,710.00				106,710.00
c. Travel	116,418.00				116,418.00
d. Equipment	0.00				0.00
e. Supplies	13,400.00				13,400.00
f. Contractual	334,216.00				334,216.00
g. Construction	0.00				0.00
h. Other	17,855.00				17,855.00
i. Total Direct Charges (sum of 6a-6h)	842,541.00				\$ 842,541.00
j. Indirect Charges	32,459.00				\$ 32,459.00
k. TOTALS (sum of 6i and 6j)	\$ 875,000.00	\$	\$	\$	\$ 875,000.00
7. Program Income	\$ 0.00	\$	\$		\$ 0.00

**Authorized for Local Reproduction** 

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7- 97)
Prescribed by OMB (Circular A -102) Page 1A

	SECTION	C-	NON-FEDERAL RESO	UR	CES				
(a) Grant Program			(b) Applicant		(c) State	L	(d) Other Sources		(e)TOTALS
8. SLIGF 2.0		\$		\$	160,025.00	\$	14,975.00	\$ [	175,000.00
9.									
10.									
11.									
12. TOTAL (sum of lines 8-11)		\$		\$	160,025.00	\$	14,975.00	\$ [	175,000.00
		D.	FORECASTED CASH	NE	EDS				
	Total for 1st Year		1st Quarter	╽,	2nd Quarter	١,	3rd Quarter	_	4th Quarter
13. Federal	\$ 350,000.00	\$	87,500.00	\$	87,500.00	\$	87,500.00	\$	87,500.00
14. Non-Federal	\$ 87,500.00	]	21,875.00		21,875.00		21,875.00		21,875.00
15. TOTAL (sum of lines 13 and 14)	\$ 437,500.00	\$	109,375.00	\$	109,375.00	\$[	109,375.00	\$[	109,375.00
SECTION E - BUD	GET ESTIMATES OF FE	DE	RAL FUNDS NEEDED	FO	R BALANCE OF THE	PR	OJECT	·	
(a) Grant Program		L			FUTURE FUNDING	PΕ		,	
		<u> </u>	(b)First	_	(c) Second	<u> </u>	(d) Third		(e) Fourth
16. SLIGP 2.0		\$	437,500.00	\$	437,500.00	\$[		\$[	
17.						[			
18.						[			
19.									
20. TOTAL (sum of lines 16 - 19)		\$	437,500.00	\$	437,500.00	\$		\$[	
SECTION F - OTHER BUDGET INFORMATION									
21. Direct Charges:			22. Indirect	Cha	arges: Approved indire	ect	cost 9% wages and fri	nge	only
23. Remarks:									

**Authorized for Local Reproduction** 

Standard Form 424A (Rev. 7- 97) Prescribed by OMB (Circular A -102) Page 2

## **Idaho Overview:**

Idaho is largely a rural state with a low-density population estimated at or near 1.8M people contained primarily within the Snake River Plain region and northern most panhandle region. The land mass consists of 87, 530 square miles with roughly 64% of that being federal land. A large portion of that is remote. Idaho has the largest stretch of federally designated wilderness area contained within the lower 48 states. There are 44 counties in the state. There are 201 cities in the state with Boise being the largest at over 223,000. Idaho has five recognized Native American Tribes within the state.

Because of Idaho's vast and diverse terrain with a relatively small population base, there are large gaps in cellular LTE coverage. Coverage is improving but still remains a significant challenge for any and all commercial broadband providers. Availability, capacity, speed, and provider choice are key concerns for public safety agencies and organizations. Adoption of the FirstNet broadband network represents a significant step forward in providing Idaho with the progression needed to evolve its cellular network capabilities.

At the state level, Idaho's communication issues and functions are primarily governed by the Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC). The IPSCC represents a governance body similar to the State Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC) governance model. Within the IPSCC, there are six districts represented by six District Interoperability Governance Boards (DIGBs). The tribal nations within Idaho's borders are represented by a single voting member on the IPSCC. The IPSCC and DIGBs conduct separate and combined meetings to address the full spectrum of communication topics and issues (past, current and projected) within Idaho. This governing body is recognized in Idaho Code 31-4815. Specific members are given voting privileges when deciding upon key communications issues. A specific FirstNet sub-committee has been formed within the IPSCC to address FirstNet related topics. The SLIGP Program Manager works closely with the SPOC, the IPSCC and associated sub-committees.

Recently, the Governor of Idaho, with input from the IPSCC and SPOC, proactively signed a letter of intent to opt in to the FirstNet build out plan. This occurred formally on 12 September 2017. This decision was made by the Governor of Idaho in advance of the 90-day decision period allowed by FirstNet made this decision. In accordance with the Letter of Designation and this grant application, the state intends to pursue on-going grants made available to manage and implement the statewide buildout plan, as proposed by FirstNet and their partner.

All planned activities listed within this application are in accordance with Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) 2018-NTIA-SLIGP-2.

#### **SLIGP 2.0 Staffing Plan:**

The Idaho SLIGP staff will operate under the direction and guidance of the Idaho Single Point of Contact (SPOC). The staff will consist of Program Manager and a Project Manager/Data Collection Coordinator. Both of these positions will consist of a Full Time Equivalent (FTE) staffing position at 100% funding. A portion of indirect support staff manning costs will also be utilized to ensure proper grant accounting; documentation, execution and reporting are taking place in accordance with DOC/NTIA stipulations. Contracted professional services and/or temporary/short term employment considerations will be determined by necessity to meet workload during the grant period. The SLIGP Program Manager will continue to coordinate and collaborate with the Statewide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC) on matters related to NPSBN buildout and how they affect the interoperability spectrum within Idaho itself. The SLIGP Program Manager will coordinate with the Idaho Office Of Emergency Management (IOEM) Grant Management Branch Chief for budgetary activities to include planning, obligation, disbursement tracking, and potential audit oriented activities.

The SLIGP Program Manager will have the following primary responsibilities:

- 1. Oversight on the daily management activities of the SLIGP 2.0 grant allowable activities.
- 2. Supervision and leadership of the Project Manager and associated duties.
- 3. Primary budgetary planning, tracking and reporting in collaboration with the IOEM Grants Management Branch Chief.
- 4. Operational and strategic level planning in collaboration with FirstNet and their partner.
- 5. Collaboration, planning, and educational data sharing with the communications governance entities in Idaho (Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC), 6x District Interoperability Governance Boards (DIGB), and the Statewide Wide Interoperability Coordinator (SWIC).
- 6. Continue education/outreach/data collection in collaboration with the IPSCC and FirstNet.

## **Summary of Planned Activities:**

The Idaho SLIGP 2.0 program will focus on all of the allowable activities as described in subsequent paragraphs and for specific allowable activities for States where FirstNet is deploying the RAN (Opt-In States).

- 1. Single officer (or governmental body) and staff to, at a minimum, provide for ongoing coordination with NTIA and implementation of grant funds.
- 2. Existing governance body to provide input to the single officer and to contribute towards planning activities to further identify potential public safety users of the NPSBN and prepare for data sharing.
- 3. Data collection in specific areas identified to be helpful as requested by FirstNet.
- 4. Development of policies and agreements to increase sharing of data between existing public safety systems across various agencies within the State or territory using the NPSBN.
- 5. Individuals, such as the single officer and governing body members, to perform planning activities to help FirstNet and its partner further identify potential public safety users of the NPSBN.
- 6. Planning efforts to help FirstNet gain inclusion on applicable statewide contract vehicles.
- 7. Planning activities to prepare for emergency communications technology transitions.
- 8. Activities to identify and plan for the transition of public safety applications, software, and databases.
- 9. Identifying and documenting on-going coverage needs/gaps within the State.
- 10. Activities to convene stakeholder outreach events to continue planning for NPSBN implementation, as requested by FirstNet.

#### Milestone Highlights for SLIGP 2.0:

Time	Event/Activities Concept					
Present – 28 FEB 2018	Continuation of current SLIGP Grant activities as designated and reported via on-					
	going Performance Progress Reports (PPR). Current staff remains in place and will					
	provide continuity thru initial phases of SLIGP 2.0 Increment 1.					
04 DEC 2017	Submission of Idaho SLIGP 2.0 Grant Application to NTIA.					
MAR 2018 – NOV 2018	Increment 1: focus on planning and execution of allowable activities in accordance with the Notice of Funding Opportunity					
	<ul> <li>Increased integration with each District Interoperability Governance Board (Districts 1-6) – includes white papers, briefings, updates on FirstNet progress and integration within Idaho</li> </ul>					

	<ul> <li>Participation in all IPSCC sessions statewide to provide updates and implement appropriate policy/statewide strategy items</li> <li>Facilitation of stakeholder interaction with FN/AT&amp;T representatives</li> <li>Develop and implement tracking mechanisms to provide clarity and transparency on FN/AT&amp;T efforts within the state and region</li> <li>Facilitation of discussion and planning for immediate access to AT&amp;Ts deployable assets for potential use in emergencies</li> <li>Scheduling of educational sessions between FN/AT&amp;T and potential subscribers (this includes how to use the service, establishing local control measures, coverage limitations, bring-your-own-device issues)</li> <li>Continued collaboration with neighboring states in key coverage areas</li> </ul>
DEC 2018 – FEB 2020	<ul> <li>Increment 2: Continued execution of allowable activities</li> <li>Continued interaction with governance boards – IPSCC and DIGBs.         Continued gathering of information by region on how integration efforts are proceeding. Detailed reports to the IPSCC.</li> <li>Data collection as requested by FirstNet</li> <li>Continued on-going assessment of coverage and capacity needs ranging from IOC 1 thru IOC2 and IOC3 – this may induce the need for signal strength drive testing by specific area</li> <li>Identification and conduct of data analysis for overall LTE communications coverage in Idaho – this includes targeted drive testing in specific areas, crowdsourcing, and specific consultation studies in collaboration with FirstNet and their partner.</li> <li>Development of survey oriented material to determine user satisfaction by geographic region within Idaho (emphasis on ease of use, local control abilities, cost, coverage, capacity, and software applications availability)</li> <li>Continued scheduling of educational sessions between FN/AT&amp;T and potential subscribers (this includes how to use the service, establishing local control measures, coverage limitations, bring-your-own-device issues)</li> </ul>

## **Execution of Planned Activities:**

The following lists "by objective" goals on how the Idaho SLIGP 2.0 program will conduct and support the specific allowable activities during the proposed grant award period.

- 1. Single officer (or governmental body) and staff to, at a minimum, provide for ongoing coordination with NTIA and implementation of grant funds.
  - a. <u>Current</u>: The Idaho Office of Emergency Management (IOEM) is organized under the supervision of the Idaho Military Division (IMD) who, in turn, reports directly to the Governor of the State of Idaho. The Deputy Chief, IOEM is designated as both the Single Point of Contact (SPOC) and the state designee for the SLIGP grant management activities. See designation letter.

- b. <u>Objective</u>: The SPOC will provide direction and guidance to the SLIGP PM. In turn, the SLIGP PM will manage the details of the SLIGP program in accordance with DOC/NTIA guidelines and grant stipulations, state law, and FirstNet guidance.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: The Idaho SLIGP staff will remain in place for positive continuity as the transition occurs between SLIGP 1.0 and SLIGP 2.0 grants. Normal quarterly adherence to budget formulation, expense accounting, and performance progress reporting will occur accurately and on time. As allowable activities and costs change, so will subsequent tracking and reporting.
- 2. Existing governance body to provide input to the single officer and to contribute towards planning activities to further identify potential public safety users of the NPSBN and prepare for data sharing.
  - a. <u>Current</u>: The Deputy Chief of IOEM is the SPOC and participates directly, as a voting member, of the Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC). The commission is codified in Idaho law and replicates the Statewide Interoperability Executive Committee (SIEC). The commission coordinates information, initiatives, and decision items concerning public safety communication matters to include planning for the implementation of the National Public Safety Broadband Network (NPSBN) within Idaho. The IPSCC is comprised of communications leaders from all six Digital Interoperability Governance Boards (DIGBs), emergency management officials, first response organizational leaders, and elected officials across Idaho.
  - <u>Objective</u>: With the advent of the "opt in" decision completed, the IPSCC has formed a FirstNet Subcommittee chaired by the DIGB 5 Chairman (Chief David Gates, Idaho Association of Fire Chiefs).
     He will lead the IPSCC effort, at a sub-committee level, on matters pertaining directly to FirstNet implementation in Idaho. The SLIGP PM will work in direct coordination with this subcommittee, the SPOC, SWIC, and FirstNet.
  - c. <u>Outcome</u>: Moving beyond the "opt in" decision and forward into the actual implementation phase will signify a positive and more proactive change in the relationship between the IPSCC, SLIGP, SPOC, and FirstNet. Additional coordination, collaboration, and data sharing efforts will merge on a more frequent basis to ensure the NPSBN buildout is both visible and progressive. The SLIGP PM will brief updates to the IPSCC on a bi-monthly basis. The SLIGP PM will update the DIGB chairperson for each region when requested and when invited to attend DIGB meetings. Data sharing products will be screened and released based on adherence to the ongoing state plan.

#### 3. Data collection in specific areas identified to be helpful as requested by FirstNet.

- a. <u>Current</u>: Data collection requests from FirstNet are anticipated to be oriented towards two distinct categories. First, will be continuing some level of outreach activity focused on identification of potential user adoption agencies and groups. Many of the potential primary users have been identified are being approached directly by FirstNet/AT&T. Some of the extended users and volunteer/private industry partners may need additional follow-up information. Second, will be on-going coverage concerns and priorities. These efforts are evolving the discussion and information sharing amongst all parties involved.
- b. <u>Objective</u>: Prior to SLIGP 2.0 initiation, the Idaho SLIGP staff will begin exchanging and gathering data needs assessed by both the IPSCC, SWIC and FirstNet. Monthly updates will be conducted between SLIGP staff and FirstNet representatives for Idaho. Applicable data will be reported to both the SPOC and IPSCC.

- c. <u>Outcome</u>: The Idaho SLIGP staff remains proactive, flexible and adaptive to on-going data collection, data sharing, and data analysis needs from FirstNet planners. To date, the specifics of these items are still being developed in coordination with FirstNet and their partner.
- 4. Development of policies and agreements to increase sharing of data between existing public safety systems across various agencies within the State or territory using the NPSBN.
  - a. <u>Current</u>: Idaho public safety communications policy and agreement formulation is subject to procedures identified by Idaho Code, SCIP and IPSCC procedures. Key to this engagement is local deliberation and issue identification brought forward to the IPSCC by the DIGBs. DIGBs promote shared decision making with local stakeholders, promote transparency, promote sustainability, establish a shared understanding of interoperability goals and seek ways to actively involve stakeholders from a multi-agency and multi-jurisdictional perspective.
  - b. <u>Objective</u>: The SLIGP staff will work in unison with Idaho Code, IPSCC policy directives, SPOC guidance, and SWIC guidance. Policy guidance and directives will seek to promote enhanced awareness and transparency regarding the FirstNet implementation activities from Initial Operational Capability (IOC) Phase 1 thru IOC Phase 2.
  - Outcome: State and district level policy documents will be drafted and reviewed by the IPSCC, SWIC, and SPOC. SLIGP staff will remain engaged in all policy recommendations in concert with stakeholders and management processes.
- 5. Individuals, such as the single officer and governing body members, to perform planning activities to help FirstNet and its partner further identify potential public safety users of the NPSBN.
  - a. <u>Current</u>: Previous and current outreach efforts are focused on education of the FirstNet concept and NPSBN vision based on the 911 Commission Report. Details relayed to stakeholders have been fairly generic and conceptual versus technical. Priority issues have been focused on the key issues of coverage, capacity, affordability, and reliability. These will remain forefront on the scope of concerns for all potential users.
  - b. <u>Objective</u>: Limited, yet extended, outreach events will be planned in conjunction with local and state conferences known to exist. Examples include; Idaho Sheriff's Association, Idaho Association of Counties, Idaho Association of Cities, Volunteers Active in Disaster(VOAD). SLIGP participation in DIGB meetings will increase and facilitate follow on outreach and education to potential users across Idaho. Outreach and education will include both SLIGP staff and FirstNet/AT&T representatives in many cases.
  - c. <u>Outcome</u>: Increased knowledge, transparency and awareness of FirstNet across the local and state spectrum of available primary, extended, and special users.
- 6. Planning efforts to help FirstNet gain inclusion on applicable statewide contract vehicles.
  - a. <u>Current</u>: Current statewide contracts are handled by the Idaho Department of Administration, Division of Purchasing. This agency is subject to Idaho Statutes, Title 67. Administrative rules are found in IDAPA38.05.01.
  - b. <u>Objective</u>: As FirstNet is implemented within the state, it is anticipated that primary and extended user agencies will apply for regional and statewide subscriber procurement. For

- example, the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) is a primary responder for all traffic related issues in all areas of the state and would most likely be a FirstNet subscriber.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: Public Safety entities within Idaho will be provided with economically sound and feasible means to procure contracts with FirstNet allowing enhanced communications and increasing efficiency in responding to emergencies across the state. The SLIGP staff will only facilitate interaction between vendors and/or FirstNet and will not participate in the marketing or procurement process.

## 7. Planning activities to prepare for emergency communications technology transitions.

- a. <u>Current</u>: As mentioned in previous paragraphs, the key driving entities in the Idaho Public Safety Communications landscape include the IPSCC, DIGBs, SWIC, and local communications oriented public and private partners. Idaho has recently updated the Statewide Communications Interoperability Plan (SCIP) as of August 2016. While the document potentially requires updates and revisions, it signifies the strategic framework document for statewide communications. The joint immersion of NPSBN, Land Mobile Radio systems, Information Technology, Next Generation 911, Long Term Evolution technology and adaptation all make up a more robust interoperable environment for emergency communications within Idaho.
- b. <u>Objective</u>: Governance bodies and administrative process will be key to conducting local, regional, and statewide communications planning in the interagency environment. These efforts must be coordinated and a shared vision created between the IPSCC and other technology-based committees such as the Idaho Technical Authority (ITA) Commission.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: The integration of currently used systems will be merged to mirror sustainable interoperable communications with up and coming technologies. Emphasis on the interoperability continuum is essential. Key elements include; collaborative governance, standard operating procedures, technology, training and exercises, and on-going usage of critical communications systems. SLIGP staff members will remain engaged in all governance and communications based discussions and processes. SLIGP staff will also facilitate educational sessions across the state based on need.

#### 8. Activities to identify and plan for the transition of public safety applications, software, and databases.

- a. <u>Current</u>: Current SLIGP staff planners as well as specific municipal response agencies have participated in multi-year Public Safety Communications Research (PSCR) informational conferences and workshops. SLIGP staff has also visited and interacted with the FirstNet Innovation Lab located in Boulder, CO. IOEM staff, SLIGP staff, and the SWIC continually participate in DHS/Office of Emergency Communications (OEC) events and informational activities.
- b. <u>Objective</u>: SLIGP staff will remain engaged in gap analysis surveys, SWIC working projects such as production of the Statewide Communication Interoperability Plan (SCIP) and any relevant SAFECOM surveys. The process and implementation of new technology and systems will be presented to the IPSCC for further guidance, policy development, and or tasking action.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: The primary outcome expectations for existing and new applications, hardware, software, and database systems is that they provide a more interoperable efficiency for Idaho.

## 9. Identifying and documenting on-going coverage needs/gaps within the State.

- a. <u>Current</u>: Idaho received overall coverage data for the entire state and each county from contracting services in 2015 as well as the Office of Emergency Communications led studies. Additional and recent interaction with FirstNet/AT&T RAN engineering teams have further shed light on existing LTE coverage within Idaho. These recent meetings have also led to adaptations in the state plan and the need for further negotiations on where to provide the best coverage that meets the needs determined by public safety agencies across the state.
- b. <u>Objective</u>: Utilizing existing and future potential gap analysis surveys, Idaho will seek to maximize overall coverage from existing levels to a level that supports the maximum amount of Band 14 capabilities where they are needed most. In areas that remain remote, a successful deployable asset strategy will be planned. The FirstNet/AT&T deployable technology platforms (Cell on Wheels, Cell on Light Truck, etc.) will be prioritized as a long-term coverage solution for emergency communications on remote state and federal lands.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: Increased coverage and capacity for Idaho. On-going SPP modification, collaboration with technical experts, technical studies (local, state, federal or contracted). Education on feasible areas for terrestrial coverage versus deployable coverage.

# 10. Activities to convene stakeholder outreach events to continue planning for NPSBN implementation, as requested by FirstNet.

- a. <u>Current</u>: The Idaho SLIGP program has been proactively conducting community outreach and education across the state since 2013. Idaho communications governance structure and process has changed during this time period.
- b. <u>Objective</u>: As requested by FirstNet, the Idaho SLIGP staff will continue to schedule and conduct education efforts statewide to all existing and potential stakeholders to include state, tribal, local and private sectors. Emphasis on rural communities, deployable strategy and transparency, engage existing and future conferences, assist FN in conducting applicable workshops, conferences, and collaboration meetings with existing public safety agencies and associations. It is important to emphasize that the SLIGP staff will not "market" FirstNet products or services nor relate details of their broadband solution covered by proprietary documentations.
- c. <u>Outcome</u>: The Idaho SLIGP staff, under 2.0 parameters, will diligently persist in assisting the IPSCC, SPOC, and SWIC collaborate and work with FirstNet to deploy, operate, maintain, and improve a broadband network dedicated to first responders and extended response users across Idaho. SLIGP staff will discuss and navigate network lifecycle concerns related to applications, devices, services and infrastructure costs short and long-term.

## Idaho State Local Implementation Grant Program (SLIGP) 2.0 Budget Justification

\$700,000 of federal funds are requested in order to assist the State of Idaho, local governments and tribal nations for planning and implementing a nationwide interoperable public safety broadband network. The federal funds will be matched with \$175,000 of non-federal funds (wages and InKind Match). The non-federal minimum for Increment 1 equates to \$62,500. The non-federal minimum for Increment 2 equates to \$112,500.

#### **OVERALL:**

	Non-				
	<u>Federal</u>	<u>Federal</u>	<b>Grand Total</b>		
Starting Figures	\$700,000	\$175,000	\$875,000		
Estimated Costs:					
Wages	\$144,336	\$109,606	\$253,942		
Fringe	\$56,291	\$50,419	\$106,710		
Travel	\$116,418	\$0	\$116,418		
Equipment	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Supplies	\$13,400	\$0	\$13,400		
Contractual	\$334,216	\$0	\$334,216		
Construction	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Other	\$2,880	\$14,975	\$17,855		
Indirect	\$32,459	\$0	\$32,459		
<b>Total Estimated Costs</b>	\$700,000	\$175,000	\$875,000		

## Wages:

The two positions for the SLIGP 2.0 grant is one Program Manager (PM) (Grade 12-annual salary of \$72,168) and one Project Manager (Grade 10-annual salary of \$54,803). On a daily basis, the Program Manager reports to the Operations Branch Chief (Idaho Office of Emergency Management) and the SPOC. The PM will also work closely with the Chairman of the Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC) and any designated subcommittees. Wages are calculated using the most recent Idaho Military Division wage scale. These two individuals will spend 100% of their time on SLIGP 2.0 grant activities. Total wages amount is \$253,942 for the two year period.

#### **Federal Personnel**

Program Manager: \$144,336

#### **Non-Federal Personnel**

Project Manager: \$109,606

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$54,126	\$90,210	\$144,336
Non-Federal:	\$41,102	\$68,504	\$109,606

## **Fringe Benefits:**

The fringe benefit rate is based on the actual fringe benefits for the current personnel. The fringe amount for a grade 12 position is calculated at 39%. The fringe amount for a grade 10 is calculated at 46%.

- Program Manager (Federal \$56,291, Non-Federal \$0)
- Project Manager (Federal \$0, Non-Federal \$50,419)

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$21,109	\$35,182	\$56,291
Non-Federal:	\$18,907	\$31,512	\$50,419

## Travel:

In State: Two (2) employees at three (3) trips per quarter for eight (8) quarters for a total of 24 meetings. The travelers will likely share a rental car for each trip at \$47 a day for three days. Airfare for each traveler is estimated at \$425. Per-diem is \$45 per day for three days. Lodging of \$95 a night for two nights. Estimated cost per meeting is \$1,641.00 calculated at (2X\$425) + (2X\$135) + (2X\$190) + 141 = \$1,641.00. Total estimated cost is \$39,384.00 for the grant period.

Out of State: Travel for regional and national meetings, conferences, and forums with FirstNet and their partners. Estimated trips equal 3 per year. Five (5) sponsored stake holders and two (2) employees for a total of 7 attendees will attend meetings for 2 years. Total meetings by attendees is 6. The travelers will likely share one higher capacity rental car for each trip at \$65 a day for three days. Airfare for each traveler will be \$800. Per-diem is \$60 per day for three days. Lodging of \$130 a night for two nights. Estimated cost per meeting is \$8,875.00 calculated as (7X\$800) + (7X\$180) + (7X\$260) + 195 = \$8,875.00. Total estimated cost is \$53,250 for the grant period.

In State Stakeholders Sponsored Travel: In state travel sponsored for approximately six (6) stakeholders at three (3) meetings a year for two years. Total number of meetings is six (6). Four (4) of the six (6) travelers will travel by air and two (2) will travel by personal vehicle with an estimated 160 mile roundtrip. The flying travelers will likely share a rental car for each trip at \$47 a day for three days. Airfare for each traveler is estimated at \$425. Per-diem is \$45 per day for three days. Lodging of \$95 a night for two nights. Estimated cost per meeting is \$3,964.00 calculated as  $(4 \times $425) + $173 + (6 \times $135) + (6 \times $190) + $141.00 = $3,964.00$ . Total estimated cost is \$23,784 for the grant period.

Total estimated travel cost is \$116,418.

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal :	\$43,657	\$72,761	\$116,418
Non-Federal:	\$0	\$0	\$0

#### **Equipment:**

There are no equipment costs anticipated during this grant.

## **Supplies:**

Outreach meeting supplies to include but not limited to CD's, binders, professional informational handouts and memory sticks. Estimated amount is \$700 per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of \$5,600. General Office supplies to include but not limited to copy paper, pens, and binders at an average cost of \$100 per month for 24 months for a total of \$2,400. Lifecycle replacement cost for two (2) laptops at an average cost of \$2,700 for a total of \$5,400.

Total estimated supplies cost is \$13,400.

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$8,700	\$4,700	\$13,400
Non-Federal:	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **Contractual:**

The federal contractual category includes legal counsel, technical and coverage consultants, outreach consultant, project management consultants, website adjustments and educational conference room rentals.

Legal Consultant. 250 hours at \$75 an hour for a total of \$18,750 for the grant period. SLIGP staff will coordinate with both the SPOC and dedicated IOEM legal advisor on any legal consultation and review issues. These include review and reconciliation of potential issues related to governance policy decisions and or conflicts, issues related to governance boards and procedures related to the allowable activities as the NPSBN is build out within Idaho (allowable activity #2 and #4). This legal review may also include scrutinizing requests made by FirstNet that may conflict with state policy (allowable activity #3).

Technical and Coverage Consultant. 1223 hours at \$150 an hour for a total of \$183,466 for the grant period. This area remains partially defined until FirstNet releases detailed requests to Idaho as an "Opt In" state. It is anticipated that costs will include FirstNet requested activities, education and training on new technologies (to include venue rental), GIS analysis updates, coverage testing facilitation, model testing, state website adjustments and limited research into emergency databases and systems.

Outreach/Project Management Consultant. Contracted for continued outreach campaign strategy/support and government official planning. Contracted for 880 hours at \$150 an hour for a total of \$132,000 for the grant period.

Total estimated contractual cost is \$334,216.

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$109,156	\$225,060	\$334,216
Non-Federal:	\$0	\$0	\$0

## **Construction:**

There are no construction costs anticipated during this grant.

#### Other:

Other costs are identified to include both wireless telephone service plans and In-Kind Match for Meetings attended.

The wireless telephone services are for two employees estimated at \$60/month per device for 24 months for a total Cost is \$2,800 for grant period.

In-Kind Match: Non-federal attendees of meetings that will be conducted throughout the state. This in-kind time will be accounted for from the local participants from the meeting roster and agenda. Participant wages (wages only) will be collected by the meeting facilitator. This in-kind match will be collected contemporaneously and compiled after each meeting. SLIGP personnel will provide a presentation with an average duration of 1 hour. In those instances where wages are unknown, the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics May 2016 State Occupational Employment and Wage Estimates for Idaho was utilized to assign the average wage for an Urban Regional Planner (\$27.65). Only the presentation time for the FirstNet program is to be used for volunteer soft match. Five different types of meetings with a total cost of \$14,975 for the grant period. Here are the details for each type of meeting;

District Interoperable Governance Board (DIGB) Meeting: Ten (10) representatives will attend one (1) regional meeting per quarter for two (2) years for a total of 8 meetings. Meeting time two (2) hours. Average Idaho wage for an Urban Regional Planner is \$27.65 per hour. (10 reps x 8 mtgs x 2 hrs = 160 hours) \$4,424.00.

Emergency Management workshops (EMW): Six (6) representatives will attend two (2) regional meetings per quarter for two (2) years for a total of 16 meetings. Meeting time one (1) hour. Average value of local wage is \$27.65 per hour. (6 reps x 16 mtgs x 1 hr = 96 hours) \$2,654.40.

Local Emergency Planning Committee (LEPC) meetings: Ten (10) representatives will attend three (3) meetings per year for two (2) years, 6 meetings total. Meeting time one (1) hour. Average value of local wage is \$27.65 per hour, (10 reps x 6 mtgs x 1 hr = 60 hours) \$1,659.00.

Special Team meetings: Five (5) representatives will attend four (4) meetings per year for two (2) years, 8 meetings total. Meeting time one (1) hour. Average value of local wage is \$27.65 per hour (5 reps x 8 mtgs x 1 hrs = 40 hours) \$1,106.00.

Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission (IPSCC): Fifteen (15) representatives will attend six (6) meetings per year for two (2) years, 12 meetings total. Meeting time one (1) hour, average amount of wage paid by a third party is \$28.50 per hour (15 rep x 12 mtgs x 1 hrs = 180 hours) \$5,131.60.

Total estimated other cost is \$17,855.

## State and Local Implementation Grant Program 2.0

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$1,080	\$1,800	\$2,880
Non-Federal:	\$2,491	\$12,484	\$14,975

# **Indirect:**

Indirect is calculated at 9% of the federal and state wages and fringe for employees of the Idaho State Military Division and its associated budget units. The total state and federal wages and fringe are \$360,652 for a total indirect cost amount of \$32,459. Indirect costs are kept at the Accounting office of the Idaho State Military Division. IOEM is a branch under IMD. Indirect costs pay the wages of IMD personnel; this includes purchasing, HR, IT and accounting.

Total estimated Indirect cost is \$32,459.

	Increment 1	Increment 2	Total for two years
Federal:	\$12,172	\$20,287	\$32,459
Non-Federal:	\$0	\$0	\$0

# Idaho SLIGP 2.0 Detailed Budget Spreadsheet

a. Wages  Program Manager: The program manager will spend 100% of their time on SLIGP 2.0 Grant Activities for 2 years. The annual salary is \$72,168.  Project Manager: The project manager will spend 100% of their time on SLIGP 2.0 grant activities for 2 years. The annual salary is \$54,803.  Total Wages  b. Fringe  Cuantities Program Manager: Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary,spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Total Fringe  C. Travel IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 uarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimented at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$65 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$550/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  6  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 14 \$ 10 Unit	72,168 54,803 <b>Cost</b> 44,336	\$ \$ To \$ \$ \$	144,336  109,606  253,942  that Cost  56,291  106,710  that Cost  39,384		\$ Fe	54,126 54,126 4deral 21,109 21,109 14,769	\$ \$ Non-	41,102 41,102 Federal 18,907 18,907 Federal	\$ 90,:  \$ 90,:  Federa  \$ 35,:  Federa  \$ 35,:	210 I 82 82	\$ 68,504 \$ 68,504 Non-Federal \$ 31,512 \$ 31,512 Non-Federal	\$ \$ \$	144,336  144,336  Federal  56,291  56,291  Federal	\$ \$ Non	109,606 109,606 109,606 1-Federal 50,419 50,419	\$ \$	144,336 109,606 253,942 56,291 50,419 106,710
The program manager will spend 100% of their time on SLGP 2.0 Grant Activities for 2 years. The annual salary is \$72,168.  Project Manager. The project manager will spend 100% of their time on SLGP 2.0 grant activities for 2 years. The annual salary is \$54,803.  Total Wages  Program Manager: Fringe Quantities Program Manager: Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary,spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Total Fringe C. Travel  IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$55 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60,40 for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost cost per meeting is \$8,600.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings. Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 14 \$ 10	54,803 Cost 44,336 09,606 Cost	\$ \$ \$ \$ To	109,606 253,942 etal Cost 56,291 50,419 106,710 etal Cost		\$ Fe	54,126 ederal 21,109 21,109 ederal	Non-	41,102 Federal 18,907 18,907	\$ 90,; Federa \$ 35, \$ 35, Federa	210 I 182 I 182	\$ 68,504 Non-Federal \$ 31,512 \$ 31,512	\$	144,336 Federal 56,291 56,291 Federal	\$ \$ Non	109,606 a-Federal 50,419 50,419	\$ \$	109,606 <b>253,942</b> 56,291 50,419
The project manager will spend 100% of their time on SLIGP 2.0 grant activities for 2 years. The annual salary is \$54,803.  2  Total Wages  b. Fringe Program Manager: Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary, spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities. 39% Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Total Fringe C. Travel IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car of \$80/day for three days. Lodging at \$300.0 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meeting is \$5,800.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 14 \$ 10 Unit	Cost 44,336 09,606 Cost	\$ To	253,942 etal Cost 56,291 50,419 106,710 etal Cost		Fee \$	21,109 21,109 21,109	Non-	41,102 Federal 18,907 18,907	\$ 35, \$ 5, Federa		\$ 68,504 Non-Federal \$ 31,512 \$ 31,512	\$	56,291 56,291 56,291 Federal	\$ \$ Non	109,606 a-Federal 50,419 50,419	\$ \$	253,942 56,291 50,419
Total Wages  b. Fringe Program Manager: Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary,spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  39% Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  46%  Total Fringe C. Travel  N STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/inght for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers: total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$65 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/inght for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 14 \$ 10 Unit	Cost 44,336 09,606 Cost	\$ To	253,942 etal Cost 56,291 50,419 106,710 etal Cost		Fee \$	21,109 21,109 21,109	Non-	41,102 Federal 18,907 18,907	\$ 35, \$ 5, Federa		\$ 68,504 Non-Federal \$ 31,512 \$ 31,512	\$	56,291 56,291 56,291 Federal	\$ \$ Non	109,606 a-Federal 50,419 50,419	\$ \$	253,942 56,291 50,419
b. Fringe Program Manager: Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary,spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities. 39% Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities. 46%  Total Fringe C. Travel N STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$65 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60/49 for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,800.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 14	44,336 09,606 Cost	\$ \$ To	56,291 50,419 106,710 otal Cost		\$ Fe	21,109 21,109 21,109	\$ <b>\$</b>	18,907 18,907	\$ 35, \$ 5, Federa		\$ 31,512 \$ 31,512	\$	56,291 56,291 56,291 Federal	\$ \$ Non	50,419 50,419	\$	56,291 50,419
Fringe is calculated at 39% of salary, spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Project Manager: Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Total Fringe  C. Travel  C. Travel  IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$55 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	\$ 10	09,606 Cost	\$ * To	50,419 106,710 otal Cost		\$ Fe	21,109 ederal	\$	18,907	\$ 35,	182 I	\$ 31,512		56,291 Federal	\$ Non	50,419	\$ \$	50,419
Fringe is calculated at 46% of salary, for time spent on SLIGP 2.0 activities.  Total Fringe  c. Travel  Quantii  IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$65 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$600. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total	у	Unit	Cost	\$ To	106,710 otal Cost		Fe	ederal	\$	18,907	Federa	I	\$ 31,512		Federal	\$ Non	50,419	\$	
C. Travel Quantiti IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  QUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$56 per day for 3 days.Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$5,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total				То	etal Cost		Fe	ederal	_	_	Federa	I			Federal	Non	,	\$	106,710
IN STATE: Two employees, at 3 trips per quarter for 8 quarters for a total of 24 meetings. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car at \$47/day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost for each meeting is \$1,500.00 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$1,641 for each meeting and a grand total of 39,384 for 24 meetings.  OUT OF STATE: Travel for regional and national meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$55 per day for 3 days.Airfare at \$900. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  6 STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total									Non-	Federal			Non-rederal				-rederal		
meetings estimated at 3 per year. Stakeholders and employees for a total of 7 travelers; total meetings of 6. One higher capacity rental car at \$65 per day for 3 days. Airfare at \$800. Perdiem at \$60/day for three days. Lodging at \$130/inght for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$8,680.00 plus rental car of \$195 for a total cost of \$8,875.00 and a grand total of \$52,080.00 for 6 meetings.  STAKEHOLDERS (IN STATE): Sponsored travel for six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total																	-	\$	39,384
six (6) stakeholders at 3 trips a year for two years; total		\$	8,875	\$	53,250		\$	19,969			\$ 33,	281		\$	53,250	\$	-	\$	53,250
meetings of 6. 4 of 6 travelers will travel by air and two by personal vehicle and estimated 160 miles round trip. Airfare for each traveler is \$425. Shared rental car of \$47 a day for three days. Perdiem at \$45/day for three days. Lodging at \$95/night for two nights. Variable cost per meeting is \$3,823 plus rental car of \$141 for a total cost of \$3,964 for a grand total of \$23,784 in estimated costs.		\$	3,964	\$	23,784		\$	8,919			\$ 14,	_		\$	23,784	\$		\$	23,784
Total Travel			01	_	116,418	_	\$	43,657	\$	-	\$ 72,	_	\$ -	\$	116,418	\$	- i-Federal	\$	116,418
d. Equipment Quantit  None	у	Unit	Cost	\$	tal Cost		\$	deral -	Non-	Federal	Federa	1	Non-Federal	s	Federal -	Non	i-rederai		
Total Equipment				\$	-		\$	-	\$	-				\$	-			\$	-
e. Supplies Quantit  Meeting supplies to include but not limited to, handouts, binders, memory sticks, estimated at \$700 x	у	Unit	Cost	То	otal Cost		Fe	ederal	Non-	Federal	Federa		Non-Federal		Federal		-Federal		
8 quarters 8  General Office supplies, to include but not limited to copy paper,pens,binders, etc - average estimate		\$	700	\$	5,600	1	\$	2,400			\$ 3	200		\$	5,600	\$	-	\$	5,600
\$100.00 per month 24  Lifecycle replacement on hardware and software upgrades -mobile laptops, printer, tablets, projectors		\$	100	\$	2,400	╢	\$	900			\$ 1	500		\$	2,400	\$		\$	2,400
(\$2,700 each) 2		\$	2,700	\$	5,400		\$	5,400			\$	-		\$	5,400		-	\$	5,400
Total Supplies		He2	Cost	\$ To	13,400		\$	8,700	\$ Non	- Fode!		700	\$ -	\$	13,400	\$ Non	- -Endorol	\$	13,400
f. Contractual Quantif Legal Counsel 250	У	\$	Cost 75		18,750		\$	3,042	NOn-	Federal	Federa \$ 15	1 708	Non-Federal	\$	Federal 19.750	Non \$	-Federal	¢	10.750
Technical & Coverage Consultant 1223	$\dashv$	\$	150	_	183,466		\$	56,114			\$ 127			\$	18,750 183,466	\$	-	\$	18,750 183,466
Project Management consultant 880	$\dashv$	\$	150	\$	132,000	_	\$	50,000				000		Φ	132,000	\$	-	9	183,466
Total Contractual				<u> </u>	334,216		-	109,156	\$		\$ 225.0		s -	\$	334,216	\$	<u> </u>	\$	334,216
g. Construction Quantit	у	Uni	it Cost	_	otal Cost	_	•	ederal		Federal	Federa	_	Non-Federal	_	Federal		n-Federal		
None 0	I	\$	-	\$	-									\$	-				
Total Construction		11. 2	0	\$		-    -	\$ ==	-	\$	- Faste ::1	Ecde		Non-Federal	\$	- Federal	Non	-Federal	\$	-
h. Other Quantit Wireless Services (Cell Phone) 24	-	Unit			tal Cost		r-e	deral	Non-	Federal	Federa	-	Hon-reueral	6		14011	- cutidi	•	
Wireless Services (Cell Phone) 24 InKind Match - Meeting Attendance local	+	\$ 1	120.00	\$	2,880	╢	\$	1,080			φ 1,	300		à	2,880	Þ		\$	2,880
representatives 24		\$ 6	623.95	\$	14,975				\$	2,491			\$ 12,484	\$	-	\$	14,975	\$	14,975
Total Other				\$	17,855		\$	1,080	\$	2,491		800	\$ 12,484	\$	2,880	\$	14,975	\$	17,855
Total Direct Charges				e	942 544	-		ederal		Federal	Federa	_	Non-Federal	_	Federal	-	-Federal	•	9/0.5/4
Total Direct Charges i. Indirect Costs Quantit	v	Unit	Cost	_	842,541 otal Cost	_		237,828 deral	\$ Non-	62,500 Federal	\$ 429,7 Federa	_	\$ 112,500 Non-Federal	\$	667,541 Federal	\$ Non	175,000 -Federal	Þ	842,541
Indirect Costs; 9% of all SLIGP funded personnel wages and fringe. Indirect costs go to General Support Division to pay salaries for HR, Finance, and IT which									.1011				vacidi						20.450
Denerits the state agency overall. 9%  Total Indirect		<b>\$</b> 36	60,652	\$ <b>\$</b>	32,459 32,459		\$ <b>\$</b>	12,172 <b>12,172</b>	\$		\$ 20,2 \$ 20,2		\$ -	\$ <b>\$</b>	32,459 <b>32,459</b>			\$	32,459
TOTALS				_	875,000	_		250,000	\$	62,500	\$ 450,0	_	\$ 112,500	\$	700,000		175,000	\$	875,000

Match Prop:	80%	20%	80%	20%	80%	20%
Goal:					80%	20%

OMB Number: 4040-0007 Expiration Date: 01/31/2019

#### ASSURANCES - NON-CONSTRUCTION PROGRAMS

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 15 minutes per response, including time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0040), Washington, DC 20503.

# PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR COMPLETED FORM TO THE OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. SEND IT TO THE ADDRESS PROVIDED BY THE SPONSORING AGENCY.

NOTE:

Certain of these assurances may not be applicable to your project or program. If you have questions, please contact the awarding agency. Further, certain Federal awarding agencies may require applicants to certify to additional assurances. If such is the case, you will be notified.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I certify that the applicant:

- Has the legal authority to apply for Federal assistance and the institutional, managerial and financial capability (including funds sufficient to pay the non-Federal share of project cost) to ensure proper planning, management and completion of the project described in this application.
- Will give the awarding agency, the Comptroller General of the United States and, if appropriate, the State, through any authorized representative, access to and the right to examine all records, books, papers, or documents related to the award; and will establish a proper accounting system in accordance with generally accepted accounting standards or agency directives.
- Will establish safeguards to prohibit employees from using their positions for a purpose that constitutes or presents the appearance of personal or organizational conflict of interest, or personal gain.
- Will initiate and complete the work within the applicable time frame after receipt of approval of the awarding agency.
- 5. Will comply with the Intergovernmental Personnel Act of 1970 (42 U.S.C. §§4728-4763) relating to prescribed standards for merit systems for programs funded under one of the 19 statutes or regulations specified in Appendix A of OPM's Standards for a Merit System of Personnel Administration (5 C.F.R. 900, Subpart F).
- 6. Will comply with all Federal statutes relating to nondiscrimination. These include but are not limited to: (a) Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 (P.L. 88-352) which prohibits discrimination on the basis of race, color or national origin; (b) Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972, as amended (20 U.S.C.§§1681-1683, and 1685-1686), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of sex; (c) Section 504 of the Rehabilitation

- Act of 1973, as amended (29 U.S.C. §794), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of handicaps; (d) the Age Discrimination Act of 1975, as amended (42 U. S.C. §§6101-6107), which prohibits discrimination on the basis of age: (e) the Drug Abuse Office and Treatment Act of 1972 (P.L. 92-255), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of drug abuse; (f) the Comprehensive Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism Prevention, Treatment and Rehabilitation Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-616), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination on the basis of alcohol abuse or alcoholism; (g) §§523 and 527 of the Public Health Service Act of 1912 (42 U.S.C. §§290 dd-3 and 290 ee- 3), as amended, relating to confidentiality of alcohol and drug abuse patient records; (h) Title VIII of the Civil Rights Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. §§3601 et seq.), as amended, relating to nondiscrimination in the sale, rental or financing of housing; (i) any other nondiscrimination provisions in the specific statute(s) under which application for Federal assistance is being made; and, (j) the requirements of any other nondiscrimination statute(s) which may apply to the application.
- 7. Will comply, or has already complied, with the requirements of Titles II and III of the Uniform Relocation Assistance and Real Property Acquisition Policies Act of 1970 (P.L. 91-646) which provide for fair and equitable treatment of persons displaced or whose property is acquired as a result of Federal or federally-assisted programs. These requirements apply to all interests in real property acquired for project purposes regardless of Federal participation in purchases.
- Will comply, as applicable, with provisions of the Hatch Act (5 U.S.C. §§1501-1508 and 7324-7328) which limit the political activities of employees whose principal employment activities are funded in whole or in part with Federal funds.

Previous Edition Usable

Standard Form 424B (Rev. 7-97) Prescribed by OMB Circular A-102

**Authorized for Local Reproduction** 

- Will comply, as applicable, with the provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act (40 U.S.C. §§276a to 276a-7), the Copeland Act (40 U.S.C. §276c and 18 U.S.C. §874), and the Contract Work Hours and Safety Standards Act (40 U.S.C. §§327-333), regarding labor standards for federally-assisted construction subagreements.
- 10. Will comply, if applicable, with flood insurance purchase requirements of Section 102(a) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-234) which requires recipients in a special flood hazard area to participate in the program and to purchase flood insurance if the total cost of insurable construction and acquisition is \$10,000 or more.
- 11. Will comply with environmental standards which may be prescribed pursuant to the following: (a) institution of environmental quality control measures under the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (P.L. 91-190) and Executive Order (EO) 11514; (b) notification of violating facilities pursuant to EO 11738; (c) protection of wetlands pursuant to EO 11990; (d) evaluation of flood hazards in floodplains in accordance with EO 11988; (e) assurance of project consistency with the approved State management program developed under the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. §§1451 et seq.); (f) conformity of Federal actions to State (Clean Air) Implementation Plans under Section 176(c) of the Clean Air Act of 1955, as amended (42 U.S.C. §§7401 et seq.); (g) protection of underground sources of drinking water under the Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended (P.L. 93-523); and, (h) protection of endangered species under the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (P.L. 93-205).
- Will comply with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968 (16 U.S.C. §§1271 et seq.) related to protecting components or potential components of the national wild and scenic rivers system.

- 13. Will assist the awarding agency in assuring compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended (16 U.S.C. §470), EO 11593 (identification and protection of historic properties), and the Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act of 1974 (16 U.S.C. §§469a-1 et seq.).
- 14. Will comply with P.L. 93-348 regarding the protection of human subjects involved in research, development, and related activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 15. Will comply with the Laboratory Animal Welfare Act of 1966 (P.L. 89-544, as amended, 7 U.S.C. §§2131 et seq.) pertaining to the care, handling, and treatment of warm blooded animals held for research, teaching, or other activities supported by this award of assistance.
- 16. Will comply with the Lead-Based Paint Poisoning Prevention Act (42 U.S.C. §§4801 et seq.) which prohibits the use of lead-based paint in construction or rehabilitation of residence structures.
- 17. Will cause to be performed the required financial and compliance audits in accordance with the Single Audit Act Amendments of 1996 and OMB Circular No. A-133, "Audits of States, Local Governments, and Non-Profit Organizations."
- Will comply with all applicable requirements of all other Federal laws, executive orders, regulations, and policies governing this program.
- 19. Will comply with the requirements of Section 106(g) of the Trafficking Victims Protection Act (TVPA) of 2000, as amended (22 U.S.C. 7104) which prohibits grant award recipients or a sub-recipient from (1) Engaging in severe forms of trafficking in persons during the period of time that the award is in effect (2) Procuring a commercial sex act during the period of time that the award is in effect or (3) Using forced labor in the performance of the award or subawards under the award.

SIGNATURE OF AUTHORIZED CERTIFYING OFFICIAL	TITLE
Karen J Wallen	IOEM Grants Branch Chief
APPLICANT ORGANIZATION	DATE SUBMITTED
State of Idaho Military Division	12/04/2017

Standard Form 424B (Rev. 7-97) Back

#### CERTIFICATION REGARDING LOBBYING

(REV 1-05)

Applicants should also review the instructions for certification included in the regulations before completing this form. Signature on this form provides for compliance with certification requirements under 15 CFR Part 28, 'New Restrictions on Lobbying.' The certifications shall be treated as a material representation of fact upon which reliance will be placed when the Department of Commerce determines to award the covered transaction, grant, or cooperative agreement.

#### **LOBBYING**

As required by Section 1352, Title 31 of the U.S. Code, and implemented at 15 CFR Part 28, for persons entering into a grant, cooperative agreement or contract over \$100,000 or a loan or loan guarantee over \$150,000 as defined at 15 CFR Part 28, Sections 28.105 and 28.110, the applicant certifies that to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

- (1) No Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid, by or on behalf of the undersigned, to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress in connection with the awarding of any Federal contract, the making of any Federal grant, the making of any Federal loan, the entering into of any cooperative agreement, and the extension, continuation, renewal, amendment, or modification of any Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement.
- (2) If any funds other than Federal appropriated funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a member of Congress in connection with this Federal contract, grant, loan, or cooperative agreement, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, 'Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying.' in accordance with its instructions.
- (3) The undersigned shall require that the language of this certification be included in the award documents for all subawards at all tiers (including subcontracts, subgrants, and contracts under grants, loans, and cooperative agreements) and that all subrecipients shall certify and disclose accordingly.

This certification is a material representation of fact upon which reliance was placed when this transaction was made or entered into. Submission of this certification is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required certification shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure occurring on or before October 23, 1996, and of not less than \$11,000 and not more than \$110,000 for each such failure occurring after October 23, 1996.

#### Statement for Loan Guarantees and Loan Insurance

The undersigned states, to the best of his or her knowledge and belief, that:

In any funds have been paid or will be paid to any person for influencing or attempting to influence an officer or employee of any agency, a Member of Congress, an officer or employee of Congress, or an employee of a Member of Congress in connection with this commitment providing for the United States to insure or guarantee a loan, the undersigned shall complete and submit Standard Form-LLL, 'Disclosure Form to Report Lobbying,' in accordance with its instructions.

Submission of this statement is a prerequisite for making or entering into this transaction imposed by section 1352, title 31, U.S. Code. Any person who fails to file the required statement shall be subject to a civil penalty of not less than \$10,000 and not more than \$100,000 for each such failure occurring on or before October 23, 1996, and of not less than \$11,000 and not more than \$110,000 for each such failure occurring after October 23, 1996.

As the duly authorized representative of the applicant, I hereby certify that the applicant will comply with the above applicable certification.

* NAME OF APPLICANT		
State of Idaho Military Division		
* AWARD NUMBER	* PROJECT NAME	
2018-NTIA-SLIGP-2	FY18 SLIGP 2.0	
Prefix: * First Name:	Middle Name:	
Karen		
* Last Name:	Suffi	x:
Wallen		
* Title: IOEM Grants Branch Chief		
* SIGNATURE:	* DATE:	
Karen J Wallen	12/04/2017	



C.L. "BUTCH" OTTER
GOVERNOR

November 7, 2017

Michael E. Dame, Program Director
State and Local Implementation Grant Program Office
Office of Public Safety Communications
National Telecommunications and Information Administration
U.S. Department of Commerce
1401 Constitution Ave. NW, Room 7324
Washington DC 20230

Re: Letter of State Designation

Dear Mr. Dame,

The Office of the Governor, State of Idaho is designating Brigadier General Brad Richy, Deputy Chief of Idaho Office of Emergency Management, (IEOM) as the selected point of contact and coordinator, and is tasked with implementation of the State and Local Implementation Grant 2.0 Program (SLIGP 2.0).

IOEM is the parent agency for the Statewide Interoperability Coordinator's (SWIC) Office and the State Administrative Agency (SAA) for the Emergency Management Grant Program (EMPG) and the Homeland Security Grant Program (HSGP). Additionally, General Richy oversees the administration of, and is a voting member of, the Idaho Public Safety Communications Commission.

As Always - Idaho, "Esto Perpetua"

C.L. "Butch" Otter Governor of Idaho